Exhibit 47

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ROSS UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CASE NO.

09 CV 01410(KAM)(RLM)

BROOKLYN-QUEENS HEALTH CARE, LTD and WYCKOFF HEIGHTS MEDICAL CENTER,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF

THOMAS SINGLETON

Taken on Behalf of the Plaintiff

July 8, 2011

(9:00 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.)

Trine M. Mitchell, RPR

(615) 830-5544

Tennessee LCR No. 284 Expires 6/30/2012

	Case 1:09-cv-01410-KAM-RLM	Document 97-48 File	ed 01/24/12 Page 3 of 45 Pag eID #: 2269
	ADDE AD ANCEG	2	4
1 2	APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff:	<u> </u>	e deposition of THOMAS
3	GEORGE TZANETOPOULOS, ESQ.		ON, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, on
4	Baker & Hostetler 191 North Wacker Drive		of July, 2011, at the Davidson
1	Suite 3100		urthouse, 1 Public Square, Nashville,
5	Chicago, Illinois 60606		for all purposes under the New York
6	(312)416-6200 gtzanetopoulos@bakerlaw.com		ivil Procedure.
7	For the Defendants:	!	e formalities as to notice,
8	WALTER P. LOUGHLIN, ESQ. K&L Gates	* '	rtificate, et cetera, are waived.
9	599 Lexington Avenue	1	ons, except as to the form of the are reserved to the hearing.
10	New York, New York 10022 (212)536-4065		It is agreed that Trine M.
	walter.loughlin@klgates.com	i	eing a Notary Public and Court
11	E M C du	1	
12 13	For Mr. Singleton: THOMAS H. SEAR, ESQ.	-	or the State of Tennessee, may swear, and that the reading and signing
	Jones Day	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pleted deposition by the witness is
14	222 E. 41st Street New York, New York 10017	16 not waived.	·
15	(212)326-3939	17	·
16	thsear@jonesday.com	18	
17	Also Present: David Hoffman	19	
1.0	In-house Counsel for Wyckoff	20	***
18 19		21	
20			HOMAS SINGLETON,
21 22		•	as a witness, and after having been
23		1	worn, testified as follows:
24 25		25	,
		3	5
1	INDEX		XAMINATION
2	INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS		ZANETOPOULOS:
3 4	PAGE Examination by Mr. Transformation 5		nk you very much.
4	Examination by Mr. Tzanetopoulos 5 Examination by Mr. Loughlin 64		ingleton, would you state your
5	Examination by Mr. Tzanetopoulos 103	1	and home address, please?
6 7	INDEX OF EXHIBITS		nas Wallace Singleton, 4564
8	PAGE		e Road, Franklin, Tennessee 37064.
9	No. 1 Affiliation Agreement 11	!	e you given a deposition before?
10	No. 2 Amendment to Affiliation 11 Agreement	9 A. Yes.	you grow a deposition service
	No. 3 Second Amendment to 11		many times?
11	Affiliation Agreement No. 4 Presentation-Caritas Operating 16	11 A. Lots.	
12	Scenarios	12 Q. Yeah	a. You know the ground rules. I'll
13	No. 5 Consolidated Financial 16 Statements		ne very short version.
1.3	No. 6 Administrative Agreement 27	14 I'll ob	viously be asking questions,
14	No. 7 Administrative Agreement 28	15 you'll be gi	iving answers. Our court reporter
15	No. 8 E-mail String Re: Caritas 40 Student Program	16 will write d	lown those answers. If, at any
	No. 9 Wyckoff Heights Minutes 51	17 point, you	don't hear me or understand me, let
16	December 20, 2007	18 me know; a	
17	No. 10 Wyckoff Heights Minutes 60 January 10, 2008	19 A. (Indic	cating.)
18	• • • • • • •	20 Q. That	's you have to answer out loud.
19 20		21 You can't s	shake your body.
21		22 A. Yes.	You're right. It's been a while.
22		23 Yes .	
23 24		i	if you need a break, let me know,
25		25 and we'll b	e happy to accommodate that.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17 We had started a restructuring turn 18 around division in Hospital Management 19 Professionals in '89, which I was running and 20 continued to run that for Quorum. 21 In around 2001, 2002 -- well, I had 22

a -- I left Quorum briefly for about 18 months,

became CEO of a publicly traded company called

New American Health Care. We liquidated that

company and then I went back to work for

23

24

Immaculate and St. John's, and they had run into financial troubles, cash flow problems. So we were in there trying to determine how serious those cash flow problems were. That was the first task, I think, if I remember correctly. Q. And then? The way I recollect it is, the state of A. New York, which was funding BQHC -- BQHC had

16 specification about which hospital the 17 consulting phase related to? 18 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: You'll get to 19 ask questions, Pat. 20 MR. LOUGHLIN: Pardon me? 21 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: I said, you'll 22 get your turn. 23 MR. LOUGHLIN: Just interested in

the accuracy of the record.

24

25

16 A. I don't think we presented it to the 17 board. I may be mistaken about that. We 18 presented it to the state, I think. That's my 19 recollection, but...

Q. All right.

21 A. Okay.

20

25

22 Q. And to whom at the state do you believe 23 you made that presentation? 24 Oh, I don't know. A whole group of

people from the state health department. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

		-	21
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. And the plan proposed here does not
2	Q. SJQH is St. John's Hospital?	2	show those amortizations to medical student
3	A. St. John's Queens Hospital, yeah.	3	clerkships at both St. John's and at Wyckoff,
4	Q. The presentation why is it that	4	correct?
5	let me ask it differently.	5	A. This assumption seems to say that, yes.
6	Was the point that medical student	6	Q. What was the basis of amortizing
7	education, if St. John's closed, would be	7	planning to amortize clerkships for those sums
8	provided at I said that wrong. Let me	8	at Wyckoff?
9	strike that, say it over.	9	A. Because what it says, so it would
10	Is the point reflected on Page 18 of	10	have no impact on cash flow for the total
11	the exhibit that medical student education	11	organization.
12	would be amortized by rotations at St. John's	12	Q. And why would doing the rotations in
13	and Wyckoff, if Mary Immaculate were closed	13	that fashion have no impact on cash flow?
14	in part, based on understanding that the	14	A. Well, if we couldn't provide the
15	contract that we marked as Exhibit 1 provides	15	clerkships, then we would have to my
16	for provision of clerkships at other	16	understanding of the contract refund money,
17	facilities, if the hospital closed?	17	which would be a negative impact on cash flow.
18	MR. LOUGHLIN: Objection, form.	18	If we could provide the total
19	THE WITNESS: Can you read back	19	clerkships at the two remaining hospitals, then
20	that question?	20	we would not have to refund money and would not
21	(The question was read back by the	21	have a negative impact on cash flow.
22	reporter.)	22	So that was these are assumptions.
23	THE WITNESS: No.	23	It says assumptions. So that was the
24	I'm sorry. Did	24	assumption that we made to do this analysis.
25	MR. LOUGHLIN: I was going to	25	Q. Why were you considering closing Mary
	23	3	25
1	object to the foundation and the fact that the	1	Immaculate, but not St. John's?
2	question was incomprehensive, even to me.	2	MR. LOUGHLIN: Object to the form.
3	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I	3	Witness said these are
4	thought I understood it.	4	assumptions. This is an analytic piece. It's
5	MR. LOUGHLIN: It's up to you.	5	not an operational piece.
6	It's up to you. You're the witness.	6	MR. SEAR: Just ignore all the
7	THE WITNESS: Okay.	7	commentary. Just answer the question.
8	BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:	8	THE WITNESS: Mary Immaculate was
9	Q. All right. The eight and a half	9	a older facility. It was a larger cash flow
10	million dollars is Ross' prepayment for	10	loss. And there was some thinking that if we
11	medical clerkships included in the eight a half	11	closed Mary Immaculate, some of those patients
12	million that's referred to here?	12	would go to St. John's and help St. John's be a
13	A. I would assume so. My recollection of	13	positive. Which, at the current time we were
14	exactly what makes up that eight and a half	14	looking at this, they were both negative cash
15	million dollars four years ago is you know,	15	flow.
16	but I would assume that whatever they paid is	16	So that was the reason why we were
17	in the eight and a half.	17	considering that.
18	Q. All right. And when you talked	18	BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:
19	about or when the presentation speaks of	19	Q. Ultimately, did the boards, any of the
20	amortizing later rotations, in layman's terms,	20	affiliate boards let me ask a different one.
h1		21	What was your recommendation, when you
21	what does that mean?	İ	
22	A. Well, they prepaid. And for that	22	concluded your consulting project, about what
22 23	A. Well, they prepaid. And for that prepayment, the corporation had committed to	23	to do with these hospitals?
22	A. Well, they prepaid. And for that	1	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

5

14

15

25

27

Our assignment, the way I recollect it, was the state wanted to know what it was going to cost under various assumptions, and that's what we tried to do here; is present to the state how much funding would be required, depending on what they chose to do, what the board chose to do, along with the consulting with the state.

- Q. Between the boards and the state, was a decision made about how to move forward?
- A. Not finally, while I was there.
- Q. At least for a period of time, did they attempt to operate both hospitals?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

.0

. 1

.2

. 3

_ 4

. 5

. 6

.7

. 8

. 9

20

1:1

2:2

:3

!4

25

MR. LOUGHLIN: When you say they, I'll repeat my continuing objection to the fact that there's a lack of specification, you know, to the particular board, or other entity or other decision maker.

THE WITNESS: Excuse me. I'll turn it off.

MR. LOUGHLIN: Off the record. (Discussion off the record.)

BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:

Q. All right. If I recall correctly,

BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:

Q. In particular, what were your jobs at the hospitals at that time?

MR. SEAR: You mean his personally?

MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Yes. Tom Singleton's job at each of the three entities?

THE WITNESS: I was CEO of the three hospitals and BOHC.

MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Mark that one next.

(The above-referred to document was thereupon marked Singleton Exhibit No. 7.) BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:

- Q. Mr. Singleton, the court reporter has marked as Exhibits 6 and 7, two contracts. I kindly direct your attention to the signature page of Exhibit 6.
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. Is that yours?
- 21 A. Yes. Yes, that is my signature.
- Q. And above that, is that Dominick Gio on behalf of Wyckoff?
 - A. It looks like it. I mean, I can't testify that's his signature. It does say, I

Mr. Singleton — and you can correct me on the timing — you said about June or so you were engaged to run the hospitals?

- 4 A. I think it was middle of July.
 - Q. All right. Of 2007?
 - A. Of 2007.
 - Q. What was FTI's role, once you were engaged to do that?

MR. SEAR: Object to form.

Answer it as best you can.

THE WITNESS: Well, I mean, basically, we were -- we had the CEO, CFO

functions, and other functions, reporting to

the board of the three hospitals.

Actually, there was -- Caritas was one corporation that had both St. John's and Mary Immaculate that had a board, Wyckoff had a board; and then BQHC was the parent board. And we basically reported to all three, and we were responsible for the day-to-day operations of the hospitals.

MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Mark that one,

please.

(The above-referred to document was thereupon marked Singleton Exhibit No. 6.)

- 1 think -- underneath, it says his name.
- 2 Q. Who is Mr. Gio, or what was his
- 3 position at that time?
- 4 A. He was -- I believe he was CEO of
 - Caritas and Wyckoff at that time.
- 6 Q. And then, Exhibit 7, it's the other 7 contract.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. In the signature block, is that your 10 signature for FTI?
- 11 A. Yes. That is my signature.
- Q. And it shows Emil Rucigay on behalf of the other entities.

Who was Emil Rucigay at that time; what was his role?

- 16 A. He was chairman of the board of each of 17 the three corporations.
- 18 Q. There are two agreements, in pretty 19 short order, between FTI and the entities.
- 20 Why -- why were there two?
- 21 A. I have no recollection as to why. I
- 22 was just wondering that myself.
- 23 Q. If I can direct your attention, please,
- 24 to -- in Exhibit 7, Page 11.
 - A. Uh-huh.

29

25

Q.

BQHC?

24

25

BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:

And during the course of those

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

Did you also have that same position at

Coop 1:00 d	w - O	- 470 IZ 8 8 8 1			0444	Brown 10 of 45 December 11
Case 1:09-0	CV-U.	L41U-KAMI-I	RLM Document 97-48 File 2278	38 O DT/	24/12	2 Page 12 of 45 PageID #.
	1	attornev/clie	nt privilege as between	1	neo	ple?
	2	=	n and counsel for the hospital.	2	A.	Right. Correct. That is the issue.
	3	_	LOUGHLIN: Well, I think I	3	Q.	Did the boards know that you were
	4	just did.		4	_	ing contracts on behalf of each of the
	5	•	TZANETOPOULOS: I disagree.	5	_	ties?
	6		ANETOPOULOS:	6	Α.	Yes.
	7		vas Mr. Zall?	7	Q.	Did they object to you doing so?
	8		s a partner with a large law firm	8	A.	No.
	9	in New York	· ·	9	Q.	How did they know that?
	10		nuer Rose?	10	A.	Because most of the contracts I would
	11		t. Proskauer Rose, who was	11		e signed, I got approval by the board
	12		with BQHC, Caritas and Wyckoff,	12		re. Or certainly communicated with
	13		advising them on legal matters,	13		Rucigay, who was my main contact with the
	14	and also invo	lving the relationship with the	14		d outside the board meetings.
	15	state; which o	bviously was very critical then.	15		(A document was thereupon marked
	16	Q. Could	the Caritas hospitals operate	16	Sing	eleton Exhibit No. 8.)
	17	without state	e aid?	17		THE WITNESS: Can I take a break?
	18	A. At that	time?	18		MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Absolutely.
	19	Q. Yes, si	r .	19	You	can indeed.
	20	A. No.		20		(Discussion off the record.)
	21	Q. Was M	fr. Zall the hospital's legal	21	BY	MR. TZANETOPOULOS:
	22	counsel alrea	dy at the time that you began	22	Q.	All right. Back on the record.
	23	there?	_	23		Mr. Singleton, the court reporter has
	24	A. Yes.		24	hand	led you a document that she's marked as
	25	Q. What	lid Mr. Zall tell you about your	25	Exhi	ibit 8. And it's an e-mail string. You're
			3	9		41
	.1	authority?		1	in th	ere sometimes. And it's been Bates
	2	•	LOUGHLIN: Objection,	2	label	led BQHC 19857 through 19861.
	3	privileged.	• ,	3		If we go to the page that's marked
	4	MR.	SEAR: I'm going to direct the	4		9, looks like that's the first one from a
	5		answer, totally pursuant to the	5		w named Julius Romero to Richard Sarli,
	6	position taker	by the hospitals. I want to	6		d Hoffman and you.
	7	make clear, w	e're completely a third party	7		What was Mr. Romero's job?
	8	here. But at t	he request of, and in light of	8	A.	Oh, he was kind of the coordinator of
	9	the position to	aken by counsel, that's why we're	9	all th	ese clerkship slots that we had to
	10	doing that.	•	10	peop!	le. They would come in, he would get them
	11	So do	n't answer the question.	11	accli	nated. He ran the program, in a sense, on
	12	BY MR. TZA	NETOPOULOS:	12	a day	-to-day basis.
	13	Q. During	your time at the hospitals, did	13	Q.	And the clerkship slots would be
	14		fire employees, or direct others	14	clerk	ships for students at medical schools?
	15	to do so?		15	A.	Yeah.
	16	A. Yes.		16	Q.	And it is correct, is it not, that the

20

24

25

Q. Did the boards know that you were hiring and firing employees?

19 A. Yes.

> Q. Did anybody object to you doing so?

21 Not on principle. But in specific

cases, there might have been board objections.

23 I can think of one in particular.

> Q. Is it correct that they disagreed with your decision, but not your authority to fire

And it is correct, is it not, that the 17 arrangement between the hospital entities and 18 medical schools where students were clerks 19 there, were the medical schools paid the 20 hospitals for the privilege of sending these

21 students through these clerkships?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. During the time that you were at the 24 hospitals, did Mr. Romero play a role in 25 negotiating those contracts with the medical

Amendment.

MR. SEAR: Let me object to that question. I think it's such a sweeping question.

But answer it as best you can.

21 MR. LOUGHLIN: I'll also object. 22 I mean, the first one was signed in

December 2006 ---

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: That's what I was going to say.

reporter.)

THE WITNESS: I don't recollect what I understood. I mean, I can read what this says, but I don't recollect what I understood at the time. I don't recollect this

21 e-mail, but I assume it's a legitimate e-mail 22

string.

17

18

19

20

23 BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:

24 Q. If I can direct your attention back to 25 Exhibit 2. That's the Amendment --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

25

51

MR. LOUGHLIN: -- before Mr. Singleton was on the scene.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

116

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

19

THE WITNESS: I had nothing to do with the first one, because it was signed before I got there.

I do not have — I do have recollections of meeting with the officials from Ross, at least coming in and shaking their hands and saying hello to them. And I think it was at a Wyckoff — in the Wyckoff conference room. Because, as I've already mentioned, Tom Sheppard, who I have a relationship with a long time ago, I remember meeting him.

Now, I have no recollection of whether I actually was in the negotiations of these contracts or not. But normally -- I certainly normally would have approved them, but I don't have any recollection about whether I negotiated -- actually did the negotiations. BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:

Q. Well, let's go ahead and close out on the Second Amendment, Exhibit 3.

Was it your normal practice to check off with the hospital's legal counsel before signing contracts like that in Exhibit 3?

1 Q. The court reporter has handed you a

2 document that she marked as Exhibit 9. It's

the minutes from the December 20, 2007 Board of

Trustees meetings. It reflects that you were there, and Mr. Goldberg as well.

Look at it as much as you care to.

Where I'd like to direct your attention, and

have some questions, is in Pages 3 and 4, the report of the chief restructuring officer.

I guess before we get there, is chief restructuring officer the formal title you were

A. Internally, yes.

given at these entities?

14 Q. On Page -- and during the course of the 15 meetings, was it a regular part of the meetings 16 that you would report to each of the boards?

A. Yes.

Q. If I can direct your attention to

19 Page 4 of the minutes.

A. Page 4?

Q. Page 4.

MR. SEAR: It would be listed at

23 the top. There you go.

BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:

Q. And the minutes recite that:

MR. LOUGHLIN: Objection. Object to form.

MR. SEAR: You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I would never sign anything unless legal counsel told me to do that.

BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:

Q. Do you remember doing so here?

A. Again, I have no specific recollection of doing that, but that would be my normal course of action.

(A document was thereupon marked Singleton Exhibit No. 9.)

BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:

Q. Mr. Singleton, during your time at
 these hospitals, was it your practice to attend

the Wyckoff Heights Board of Trustees meetings?

18 A. Yes.

Q. Was it your practice to attend the

20 Caritas Board of Trustees meetings?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Was it your practice to attend the

23 Brooklyn-Queens Health Care Board of Trustees

24 meetings?

25 A. Yes.

Mr. Singleton reported that he, along with

Mr. Gio and Julius Romero, have been

negotiating with the Caribbean medical schools over the last two months to generate additional

53

cash for Wyckoff and Caritas.

He stated that, we have been successful in both cases. Wyckoff received a wire transfer today from Ross University in the amount of \$4 million for prepaid medical student clerkship rotations. This should help relieve some of the cash flow problems for Wyckoff.

He mentioned that Caritas received \$3.7 million last week from Ross University. Mr. Singleton commented that this was done without expanding the slots.

When you made this report, did anybody at the board object to you having entered into any of these deals?

20 A. Again, I don't recollect the specific

board meeting or this specific report. Sounds

22 like a report I would make, and I have no

recollection that anybody objected to the

24 report.

Q. During your time at the hospitals, did

	2282	4	51
1	any board member ever object to you entering	1	hospital. But the state kept agitating for it.
2	1 1	2	And since they were funding us, eventually
3		3	we the board and I succumbed to the pressure
4	,	4	to do that.
5	1 1	5	Q. Did you terminate or cause to be
6	•	6	terminated David Hoffman's employment?
7		7	A. Yes.
8	C	8	Q. Why?
9		9	A. I guess I have to answer that, huh?
10	A. We were in desperate need of cash.	10	Mr. Hoffman and I had disagreements on
11	That would be my recollection; everybody was	11	a number of issues. David really, it got to
12	113	12	a point where we couldn't work together, so one
13	Q. Was one of the tasks you were given to	13	of us had to go.
14	generate cash for the hospitals?	14	Q. On what issues did you disagree?
15	A. Sorry. Repeat that.	15	A. I
16	(The question was read back by the	16	MR. SEAR: Let me say that, you
17	reporter.)	17	know, it's your deposition, but I'm not sure
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	that this inquiry is really relevant to what's
19	BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:	19	going on here. But, again, I just put that out
20	Q. At any time while you were serving at	20	there.
21	the hospitals, did anyone on behalf of the	21	But, you know, Mr. Singleton, you
22	hospitals, board members, management, anybody,	22	should answer the question.
23	suggest returning to Ross any of the money that	23	MR. LOUGHLIN: I'll put on the
24	Ross had paid under prepaid medical clerkship	24	record as well, that if Mr. Tzanetopoulos
25	contracts?	25	wasn't going into this, we would as well.
	55	5	57
1	A. That's a pretty sweeping statement.	1	THE WITNESS: You would?
2	I I don't have any recollection one way or	2	MR. LOUGHLIN: Yeah.
3	the other. I mean, that's a	3	THE WITNESS: Okay.
4	Q. Who was or in what capacity did	4	MR. SEAR: Well, then you're both
5	Claire Mullally work?	5	wrong.
6	A. To the best of my recollection, she was	6	Go right ahead. Do you recall the
7	an attorney who actually lived here in	7	specific question?
8	Nashville and was returning to New York. And I	8	THE WITNESS: Yes. Well, repeat
9	believe Mr. Hoffman recommended that we hire	9	the question.
10	her part time to help us with some legal	10	(The question was read back by the
11	issues. Somebody did, brought her to my	11	reporter.)
12	attention, and we hired her part time to help	12	BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:
13	us with legal issues at the three hospitals.	13	Q. Let me ask another question. And it
14	Q. Did you terminate or cause the	14	goes back to the why question.
15	termination of Mr. Gio's employment?	15	In detail, why is it that what
16	MR. SEAR: I'll object to the	16	disagreements caused you to terminate
17	form.	17	Mr. Hoffman?
18	But answer it.	18	A. Okay. Initially, the way I recollect
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.	19	it, it started over a fundraiser that the
20	BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:	20	hospital was having. I made, personally, a
21	Q. Why?	21	fairly significant contribution to that
22	A. The state from the very beginning of	22	fundraiser, because I felt like the hospital
23	our assignment, the state wanted Mr. Gio	23	needed the money. And I sent out an e-mail to
24	terminated. And I didn't do that initially,	24	all the senior managers requesting that they
25	because I didn't think that was best for the	25	consider making a contribution to the
سّ			TOTAL TIMESTA DO CONTRIBUTION TO THE

fundraiser.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

1

2

3

4

5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

Э

1

2

3

Mr. Hoffman objected to that, felt like that was unfair pressure on senior managers to make contribution -- personal contributions to the fundraiser.

He began agitating with other senior managers about this issue, accusing me of things, by notes and other methods. Just was bizarre, in my opinion, and in the opinion of the other senior managers. It was bizarre.

I counseled David, asked him to stop. He, at one point, threatened to take me to the board over some issue. And I can't remember what the issue was.

And I asked what his basis was, and he refused to tell me. He said he would only tell the committee of the board. I said, well, go ahead and tell them. We need to get this resolved.

I can't recollect whether he ever did that or not, but it reached the point where I went to the board and said that he needed to be terminated. We couldn't work together as -- I mean, as you can see, signing all of these contracts and doing all this, I depended

Q. After Mr. Hoffman's departure, who was

2 the -- or did the hospital have in-house 3 counsel on which you relied?

4 We -- what we did is we -- best of my

5 recollection, we gave the lady you mentioned 6

before --

1

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

59

Q. Claire Mullally?

8 Claire Mullally, made her -- I think A.

9 her title was interim general counsel. And we

10 brought someone in from Proskauer, a junior

11 person who I can't remember his name. But he

12 was there, not full time, but significant 13 amount of time helping fill in in David's role.

> (The above-referred to document was thereupon marked Singleton Exhibit No. 10.) BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:

Mr. Singleton, the court reporter has handed you a document that she's marked as Exhibit Number 10. It's the January 10, 2008 Wyckoff Heights Medical Center Board of Trustees minutes. Again, read as much as you like, but I'll have questions about your report on Page 4.

Okay. A.

> Q. There's discussion of transferring

heavily on the general counsel of the hospital, which was David Hoffman.

In fact, when I took over the hospitals, I put my office at Mary Immaculate, because I felt like that was symbolically the best place to put it, as apposed to Wyckoff or St. John's. And I moved David there with me, because we worked so closely together and I was so dependent upon him as general counsel. And we just couldn't work together any longer, so I went to the board and I said, you know, he needs to go.

The board was very reluctant. Mr. Rucigay and David had a very strong relationship, and Mr. Rucigay was obviously the chairman of the board.

And eventually I had to say, well, look, I can't continue in this position, if David is my general counsel. And so the board finally acquiesced and terminated David. He had a contract, so I think he got paid out of his contract.

Now, that's the best of my recollection. It's been, I don't know, three years, but that's the best of my recollection. senior management employees from the payroll of Wyckoff Heights Medical Center to the payroll of Caritas.

What was the purpose of that transfer?

To the best of my recollection, there A. was a central business office that primarily did -- collected accounts receivable, paid payables, did other accounting functions and central functions for all three hospitals.

There were two separate corporations. Caritas was St. John's and Mary Immaculate, and Wyckoff was a separate corporation. But there was one business office that did the same functions for all three hospitals for both corporations. Most of those employees, or all of those employees, were on Wyckoff's payroll.

Let me see if I can say this right.

Most of those business employees were on Wyckoff's payroll, but they obviously did work for Caritas. So to keep the cooperations separate, Caritas should pay Wyckoff for that service, and so -- Caritas didn't have the cash, so it was always something that didn't get paid. So we were building up an accounts payable, and the state didn't like funding us

61

:09-c <mark>v-01410-KAM</mark>	RLM Document 97-48 File 2284	g U1/2	24/12 Page 18 of 45 PageID #: 64
1 to make pay	ments to Wyckoff.	1	that loans had been made by a number of state
2 Remen	ber, the state was only funding	2	agencies to Caritas?
3 Caritas. The	y were not funding Wyckoff. And	3	A. I was aware that cash advances had been
4 so we were	ouilding up this payable to Wyckoff,	4	made to Caritas by the state.
5 and the state	didn't want us to fund it. So we	5	Q. Were you aware that, as part of those
6 couldn't mo	re the employees in the business	6	agreements, Wyckoff had promised to subordinate
	itas' payroll, because they were	7	any of its claims for repayment from Caritas to
8 unionized, a	nd that was an issue. The union	8	the agencies that had made those advances?
9 would not a	ree to that.	9	MR. LOUGHLIN: Objection; lack of
	ook management employees that	10	foundation.
11 would be eq	al to the amount the monthly	11	THE WITNESS: I don't have any
	we were building up, and moved them	12	recollection of whether that's true or not.
	yroll, so that we would not be	13	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Those are all
1	a in other words, the two would	14	the questions I have right now.
15 wash out wi		15	MR. LOUGHLIN: Okay. We have a
	that was the purpose of that	16	few questions, but I don't think it will take
	er of employees, to the best of	17	that long.
18 my recollect		18	EXAMINATION
, ·	objective of this was to, I	19	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:
	ct Wyckoff's balance sheet, when	20	Q. Mr. Singleton, my name is Walter
21 you get don	-	21	Loughlin, and I represent the defendants in the
	SEAR: Object to form.	22	case of BQHC and Wyckoff.
	LOUGHLIN: Object to form.	23	You testified, in response to some
	TZANETOPOULOS: Let me ask a	24	questions from Mr. Tzanetopoulos, that when the
	on, because they're right about	25	FTI Cambio July 2007 agreement was entered
	6.	3	65
1 that. You we	on't hear me admit that often.	1	into, there was a stipulation that you would
2 BY MR. TZ	ANETOPOULOS:	2	have to assume a chief executive officer role;
3 Q. Was t	ne financial beneficiary of this	3	is that correct?
4 transfer Wy	ckoff?	4	MR. SEAR: Object to form.
5 A. Yeah.	I guess that's a fair way to	5	Are you asking him what his
6 describe it.	We were building up a payable to	6	testimony was or
7 Wyckoff. Tl	ey weren't getting paid. This	7	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Same
8 meant that w	e weren't building that payable	8	objection.
9 wasn't growi	ng any because of what we did, so	9	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:
10 that was a be	nefit to Wyckoff.	10	Q. Do you recall?
11 Q. Were	Wyckoff and BQHC parties to	11	A. Yes.
12 subordination	on agreements I'm sorry.	12	Q. And what was the source of that
	yckoff and BQHC parties to	13	stipulation?
	on agreements that would have been	14	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to
	Caritas repaid, in cash, debts owed	15	form.
16 to Wyckoff?		16	THE WITNESS: I guess I can
	ry. I'm going to have to have	17	answer, since he's asking?
18 that repeated		18	MR. SEAR: Yeah.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SEAR: That's fine.	19	THE WITNESS: Rick Zall, Rick Zall
	question was read back by the	20	said he was negotiating the contract. He
,	question was read back by the	21	said if you want this contract, you have to
21 reporter.)	WITNESS. I'm somer I don't	21 22	commit to be the full time CEO, and Bob
	WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't	1	
23 follow that q		23 . 24	Goldberg has to commit to be the full time CFO. BY MR. LOUGHLIN:
		:/ A	BY IVIK LUBICIMI IIV.
24 BY MR. TZ. 25 Q. Okay.	You were aware, were you not,	25	Q. Are you drawing a distinction between

	Case 1:09-cv-01410-KAM-RLM Do	cum	ent 97-48 Filed 01/24/12 Page 19 of 45 P
	66) <u> </u>	2285
1	the chief executive officer function and the	1	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:
2	title of chief executive officer?	2	Q. It's your recollection that the board
3	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to	3	appointed you?
4	form.	4	A. Yes.
5	THE WITNESS: I don't think so.	5	Q. And are you aware of any board minutes,
6	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:	6	or other writing, that reflects that?
7	Q. Earlier I think you testified that the	7	A. No.
8	title of chief restructuring officer was for	8	Q. Do you have Exhibit 7 in front of you,
9	internal purposes.	9	which is the Administrative Services Agreement?
10	Was the CEO title used by you for	10	A. Yes.
11	external purposes?	11	Q. If I could ask you to turn to Page 3 of
12	MR. SEAR: Object to form.	12	23, which is BQHC 00491.
13	But answer.	13	And before I ask you a question about
14	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to	14	it, I mean, this is the — this is the formal
15	form.	15	agreement between FTI Cambio and BQHC, Wyckoff
16	THE WITNESS: Yes. I mean, any	16	and Caritas with respect to the assignment that
17	time I was dealing with a contractor or	17	you've testified about today, isn't it?
	whatever, I was CEO of the hospitals in an	18	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to
18	•	19	form.
19	external capacity.	20	THE WITNESS: I mean, I can't
20	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:	21	
21	Q. Who was it that appointed you CEO of	į	testify that it is or isn't. I mean, it
22	BQHC?	22	appears to be, but I mean, I don't
23	A. I assume the board.	23	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:
24	Q. Are you aware of any are you aware	24	Q. Well, I think you were asked earlier -
25	of any writing that reflects that appointment?	25	and I could direct your attention to the final
	67	'	69
1	A. I have no recollection one way or the	1	page, which I believe you testified bears your
2	other. State made it very clear that that was	2	signature
3	the requirement, if they were going to continue	3	A. Yes.
4	to fund.	4	Q on behalf of FTI Cambio.
5		5	A. Yes. I think the other one does too,
	Q. When you say the state, do you mean the Department of Health?	6	though, the one that preceded this.
6	~	7	Is there not two? I thought there was
7	A. Yes. People we met with at the state.	8	
8	Q. And who appointed you as CEO of	9	two. Q. Yes. I think Exhibit 6.
9	Caritas?		-
10	A. I assume the board.	10	If you're able to shed any light on the
11	Q. You mean the board of Caritas?	11	differences between the two, I'd appreciate it.
12	A. The board of Caritas.	12	But I thought your testimony this morning was
13	Q. Are you aware of any writing that	13	that you weren't sure.
14	reflects that appointment?	14	A. I'm not. I'm not sure why there was
15	A. I have no recollection one way or the	15	two.
16	other. That's the way that I functioned. The	16	Q. But if I could direct your attention
17	board knew that I functioned that way. There	17	back to Page 3 of 23 of Exhibit 7, Article II,
18	was never any question about it.	18	direct your attention to the following
19	Q. And did someone appoint you CEO of	19	language, which is 2.1
20	Wyckoff?	20	A. Wait. Wait. Okay.
21	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to	21	Q. Are you with me?
22	form.	22	A. 2.1, special employees.
23	THE WITNESS: That's my	23	Q. Yeah. I mean, it does say, does it
24	recollection, yes.	24	not, that FTI Cambio shall provide BQHC with
25		25	the on-site services of individuals to serve in

attention to the language that is set out under, paren, small D, closed paren; which says: Cambio shall have no authority to incur any liability on behalf of the hospital.

Do you see that?

Yes. A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During the time that you were working Q. on this assignment, did you ever give any consideration to whether that provision, which states that Cambio has no authority to incur any liability, was consistent with signing contracts on behalf of BQHC or Wyckoff or Caritas?

MR. SEAR: I'd like to have an understanding as to whether he's permitted to go into attorney/client communications, that is communication with Mr. Hoffman or Proskauer or any other lawyer in answering the question.

MR. LOUGHLIN: The pending question is simply whether Mr. Singleton gave any consideration to the consistency.

If he goes on to answer a question that says it was considered and it was discussed, you know, with counsel, we'll reach that when we reach that. But we're not waiving

71

```
BOHC?
```

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

2 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 3 form.

any role other than chief restructuring

officer, such as CEO of Wyckoff, Caritas or

THE WITNESS: I mean, I have to read the documents. I'm not -- to answer your specific question, I am not aware. But without reading the entire document, I wouldn't know.

But I would say that, in my experience, CRO is typically the CEO of the organization. And that's my experience of 20-something years in this business. But we could quibble over whether that's true or not.

But anyway, that's my experience and that's the way I operated in this situation. And that's certainly the way the state expected me to operate, and the board never told me not to operate that way.

BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 18

19 I'm not trying to quibble with you, 20

Mr. Singleton.

21 A. Okay.

22 Q. My job is to ask questions, okay?

23 All right. Okay. A.

Could I ask you to turn over to Page 2 24 Q. of 23, which is BOHC 00490, and direct your 25

any privilege with respect to communications.

MR. SEAR: Then, at this point, I'm going to say he's -- all he's asked you is whether you gave any consideration to that subject matter.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to

form.

THE WITNESS: What?

MR. TZANETOPOULOS: I objected to the form.

MR. SEAR: Fine. Okay.

BY MR. LOUGHLIN:

And did you resolve, in your own mind, that there was no constraint arising from that provision in the Administrative Services Agreement, to you entering into contracts?

MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to

form.

MR. SEAR: You're just asking him a yes or no answer. You're not asking him

MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. Did he resolve it, in his own mind, that there was no constraint to entering into the contracts by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

75

virtue of the ASA prohibition on incurring liabilities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

L3

l 4

L5

L6

L7

L8

L9

2021

22

23

2425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

. 0

. 1

.2

.3

. 4

.5

.6

.7

.8

.9

:0

:1

:2

:3

:4

:5

MR. SEAR: Okay. I'll object to the form.

I don't know what it means to resolve in his own mind, whether that includes communications with others or not.

MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form.

MR. SEAR: But as I understand the substance of the question, is that — well, let me object to the form of the question.

You know, answer it the best you can.

Again, I don't know what it means to resolve in his own mind, whether that includes communications with others, the board, lawyers.

But I think, at this point, he's not asking you for the substance of communications with counsel or the board.

THE WITNESS: Well, I – I mean, on a number of occasions, I asked various people in authority if I had the authority to sign contracts, and I was instructed that I

authority to enter into contracts.

MR. LOUGHLIN: I was following up on the witness' prior answer, that he discussed the issue of his ability to enter into contracts with various people in authority.

MR. SEAR: Right.

MR. LOUGHLIN: Then I asked whether, in those discussions with people in authority, he discussed that provision in Paragraph D of the ASA.

MR. SEAR: Okay. Do you understand the question? It's focused on the particular provision.

THE WITNESS: I have no recollection that I discussed that particular clause.

I did ask people in authority, and attorneys, given the agreement, did I have the -- the whole agreement that we had signed, did I have the authority to sign contracts. The answer came back yes.

22 BY MR. LOUGHLIN:

Q. Can you tell me what -- first identify the people who you discussed this with.

A. Rick Zall, David Hoffman, Mr. Rucigay.

did. And so I did sign contracts. BY MR. LOUGHLIN:

Q. And did you seek any advice from someone in authority with respect to the provision in the ASA, which prohibits the incurring of liabilities on behalf of the hospitals?

MR. SEAR: I object. I'm going to direct him not to answer the question.

You can characterize this agreement; I can characterize this agreement. It's a false characterization. And I object to the form of the question, because I'm not clear whether you're asking him whether he discussed this particular provision, or the substance of his authority.

So I ask you to rephrase the question.

MR. LOUGHLIN: Why don't you just read the pending question again.

(The question was read back by the reporter.)

MR. SEAR: Are you asking him whether he sought legal advice with respect to this particular clause, or the substance of

People in authority at the hospital. I don't sign contracts that they don't think I should sign, or that I didn't have the authority to sign.

I also discussed it with the attorneys at FTI. Not this particular clause, but what is the scope of my authority under this agreement.

Q. Could you describe what the process would be when you enter into a -- excuse me.

What procedure was established for you to submit contracts for board approval?

MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form.

MR. SEAR: Object to form. That assumes a fact not in evidence, and I don't know what you mean by procedure being established.

But answer it, if you can.

THE WITNESS: To my recollection, I never signed a contract at BQHC, Caritas or Wyckoff without the approval of Rick Zall and Mr. Rucigay, and they would tell me whether it had to go to the board or not.

77

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

79

81

BY MR. LOUGHLIN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ο. With respect, for instance, to the first Amendment to the Affiliation Agreement, which I believe is marked Exhibit 2, do you have a recollection of obtaining prior approval for that contract to be signed?

MR. SEAR: Let me ask counsel, you're asking that question and you're still saying you're asserting the attorney/client privilege? I don't know how you can do that fairly.

We've been very careful and observant, in terms of your implication of the privilege. You have now waded into with a line of questions -- after the witness has made it clear that the approval process included counsel, both in-house counsel and outside counsel, I don't see how he can fairly answer the question, that question, without going into privileged communications.

MR. LOUGHLIN: I'm not asking him for any communications. I asked him -- I think the pending question was whether he obtained prior approval before the first Amendment was executed.

recollection about this being any different than the process.

3 MR. LOUGHLIN: Let me just take a break for a second.

(Discussion off the record.)

BY MR. LOUGHLIN:

Mr. Singleton, directing your attention to the time that you began in the CRO role in the summer of 2007, was there a procedure established for the review of and approval of contracts that would be entered into?

MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form.

MR. SEAR: Note my objection to the form, and I think he's already answered that.

But if you have anything to add, go right ahead.

THE WITNESS: To the best of my recollection, I have told you what I thought the procedure was. If you want me to repeat that, I will.

BY MR. LOUGHLIN:

Q. I would appreciate it if --

MR. SEAR: No. We're not here to

1 MR. SEAR: You're simply asking 2 him for the fact. 3

MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. Yes.

MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to

form.

MR. SEAR: Do you understand the distinction?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I think I do.

I don't have a specific recollection of who I talked to, if anyone, about this agreement, the first Amendment.

I can tell you that I didn't draft it, I didn't review it. Legal counsel drafted it, and -- their legal counsel may have drafted, I don't remember. But our legal counsel certainly reviewed it, either Mr. Hoffman or Mr. Zall, or someone they appointed, and they told me it was approved for signature. That's the way it always worked.

And they would talk to Mr. Rucigay about it, or I would talk to Mr. Rucigay about it. And if it needed to go to the board, it would go to the board. I mean, that was the process.

I don't have any specific

1 repeat anything. No. We're not. It's a total 2 waste.

> I'll be happy -- you want to go to the judge on this? We're not here to have the witness repeat his testimony.

I'm directing him not to answer.

It's just abusive.

BY MR. LOUGHLIN:

Let me try once again.

Directing your attention to the beginning of your engagement as CRO, was there a procedure established whereby drafts of agreements were reviewed by Mr. Hoffman, prior to the execution of those agreements by you?

MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to

form.

MR. LOUGHLIN: Objection.

To the extent you have any facts, recollection to add to what you've already testified to, please add.

THE WITNESS: My recollection is, and my normal practice is, I would never sign a contract without it being reviewed by legal counsel for the hospital.

So yes, it's my recollection that

Document 97-48 Filed 01/24/12 Page 23 of 45 PageID #: 1 Mr. Hoffman, as long as he was functioning as 1 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 2 Do you have any recollection of whether 2 general counsel, reviewed it. Q. 3 3 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: the funding actually was received? 4 Fifty million was not received. I do 4 And then subsequently it would be A. 5 submitted to the board for approval? recollect that. 5 6 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the 6 Q. Could I ask you to place Exhibit 9 in 7 front of you? And may I direct your attention 7 form. 8 MR. SEAR: Object to the form. 8 to Page 3 of the exhibit, which is the 9 THE WITNESS: Depended on what December 20, 2007 Wyckoff Board of Trustees 9 LO 10 Mr. Rucigay wanted to do. minutes. 11 A. Page 3 of this exhibit? I do not recollect that there was 11 12 Q. Yes, which is BQHC 03882. .2 any -- I don't recollect there were any 13 .3 A. Right. specific levels of contracts that had to be 14 Q. At the bottom of the page, there's a submitted to the board. It was more about what _ 4 15 general counsel and Mr. Rucigay would sentence under the heading of the report of the .5 16 chief restructuring officer: Mr. Singleton .6 recommend, and Rick Zall would recommend, is my 17 reported that he submitted a request to the .7 recollection. 18 state to reduce the current \$14 million to the . 8 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 19 board approved \$10 million. .9 And your recollection is that these 20 Can you tell me what that refers to? ;0 kinds of discussions about contracts, with 21 A. No, cannot. 1:1 Mr. Rucigay and others, would occur outside of 22 2:2 Q. Do you have a recollection of what the board meetings? 23 level of investment in Caritas was from :3 I certainly had lots of conversations with Mr. Rucigay and Mr. Zall outside of board 24 Wyckoff? ! 4 25 MR. SEAR: Object to the form. :5 meetings, yes. 85 83 1 1 Could I ask you to place Exhibit 10 in But answer. 2 THE WITNESS: No. I don't have a 2 front of you, which is the January 10, 2008 3 recollection. I wouldn't characterize it as an 3 Wyckoff board meeting minutes. investment, but I don't have a recollection --4 4 A. 5 it would actually be a loan, but I don't have a 5 Directing your attention to Page 5, Q. 6 recollection of the amount. 6 which is BQHC 0010. 7 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 7 A. 8 Well, could I ask you to direct your 8 In particular, the first full paragraph Q. Q. 9 attention to Exhibit 4, and Page 18 of that 9 on that page, there is a reference, is there . 0 not -- and you should read it to yourself if 10 exhibit? 11 A. Okay. . 1 you want. 12 I think you described this earlier as 2 You're reporting to the board that you Q. 13 a -- as a report that was prepared for the .3 intended to make an application for \$50 million 14 state about various scenarios with respect to 4 in funding from the -- from the state. I 15 the Caritas hospitals. 5 assume the Department of Health, but you can 16 I think I described it as something in 6 specify. It doesn't say here. 7 Can you tell me whether that 17 the form that we would have done. I can't 18 testify to the fact that this was the very 8 application was, in fact, made? 9 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 19 report that we presented to them, but yes. 0 20 Q. Well, if I could direct your attention form. 21 THE WITNESS: I made a number of to Page 18, and ask you whether the reference 1 presentations to the state. I would assume 22 to the \$3 million loan due Wyckoff will be paid 2

23

24

25

3

4

5

that this probably was made, but I don't have

any specific recollection of it or not.

off by December 31, and the \$9.6 million in

IT will be paid to Wyckoff by December 31,

related-party payables for shared services and

Case 1:09-c	v-01	L410-KAM-RLM Document 97-48 Filego 2290	01/	24/12 Page 24 of 45 PageID #: 88
ļ	1	whether that refreshes your recollection about	1	Wyckoff. And all, but three board members, at
	2	the level of loans or investment made by	2	Caritas were board members of Wyckoff. I
	3	Wyckoff in Caritas?	3	believe that's the number, to best of my
	4	A. No. It doesn't refresh my	4	recollection.
	5	recollection. I have no reason to believe	5	So a while, their main interest was
	6	these numbers are inaccurate, if that's what	6	Wyckoff. They did not want Caritas to bring
	7	you're asking. But it doesn't I mean, it	7	down Wyckoff. And so a lot of them expressed
	8	doesn't help me remember, without looking at	8	that legitimate concern.
	9	this sheet, what those were. I mean, I have no	9	Q. Do you have a recollection, for
-	10	recollection, but I have no reason to believe	10	instance, of Mr. Rucigay saying to you, in
1	11	those are incorrect.	11	substance, that if the Wyckoff resources
	12	Q. Directing your attention to the subject	12	continued to flow to Caritas, there could be
	13	that you testified about earlier, about the	13	three bankrupt hospitals?
1	14	transfer of nonunion employees from Wyckoff	14	A. Absolutely.
	15	payroll to the Caritas payroll	15	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to
	16	A. Uh-huh. Yes.	16	form.
	17	Q I believe you testified, did you	17	THE WITNESS: I mean, whether he
	18	not, that the a purpose of that was to	18	used those exact words, I don't know. But that
l l	19	reduce the growth of a payable from Caritas to	19	was obviously his concern.
	20	Wyckoff; is that right?	20	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:
	21	A. Yes. That's what I testified to.	21	Q. Can you tell me, Mr. Singleton, what
	22	Q. And do you have a recollection of what	22	your understanding was of the goals of the FTI
	23	the level of that payable was?	23	Cambio engagement
	23 24	A. No. I assume this 9.6 refers to	24	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the
	25	that issue, shared services and IT. That was	25	form.
		<u></u>	-	
		87	7	89
	1	the payable that was building up. That's what	1	MR. LOUGHLIN: from summer of
	2	that's referring to.	2	'07 to fall of '08?
	3	That it was at the level of	3	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to
	4	9.6 million, I have no recollection. But,	4	form.
	5	again, I have no reason to believe this is	5	THE WITNESS: Was to try to
	6	inaccurate.	6	stabilize these three hospitals, primarily the
	7	Q. Did anyone, during the time period of	7	two Caritas hospitals, from a cash flow
	8	time that you were there which, I believe	8	standpoint.
	9	was summer of 2007 until September of 2008	9	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:
þ	10	A. End of September.	10	Q. You mean stabilize the financial
[3	11	Q did any board member or management	11	condition?
j a	12	of Wyckoff express concern to you about the	12	A. Right.
 1	13	level of Wyckoff resources which were being	13	Q. How many full-time employees from FTI
[1	14	devoted to Caritas?	14	Cambio worked on the engagement, if you can
1	15	A. Yes.	15	estimate?
[1	16	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to	16	A. You know, at various times, various
1	17	form.	17	numbers. I would say anywhere from a low of
1	18	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?	18	five, maybe to a high of 15 to 20.
1	19	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:	19	Those are rough I mean, there are
2	20	Q. And who was it who would have expressed	20	detailed records at FTI about how many there
2	21	that concern to you?	21	are, but I don't remember exactly.
2	22	A. Well, Wyckoff was an independent	22	Q. What would you say were the
2	23	hospital that had a board. When they decided	23	accomplishments of the engagement?
2	24	to buy Caritas, they formed BQHC, and most of	24	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the
2	25	the board members at BQHC were board members of	25	form.
		<u></u>		

	Case 1:09-cv-01410-KAM-RLM D	o <mark>cun</mark>	nent 97-48 Filed 01/24/12 Page 25 of 45 PageID #:
		1.	2231
1	MR. SEAR: Object to the form.	1	A. Right. Yes. I am aware of that.
2	But answer as best you can.	2	Q by AUC against Caritas, Wyckoff and
3	THE WITNESS: We tried a number of	3	BQHC?
4	different strategies to try to stabilize those	4	A. Yes, I am.
5	hospitals.	5	Q. And that that lawsuit has resulted in a
6	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:	6	\$5 million judgment against BQHC and Wyckoff?
7	Q. And did you achieve that?	7	A. No. I wasn't aware of that. I'm
8	A. No.	8	surprised. I guess I got bad counsel.
9	Q. I believe you testified directing	9	Q. Could we go back to Exhibit 4 for a
10	your attention to the first Amendment of the	10	minute? I have to find that myself. I think
11	Affiliation Agreement that the that that	11	that's the PowerPoint.
12	involved a strategy of paying the balance of	12	MR. SEAR: Yep.
13	the note to AUC, and selling the AUC slots to	13	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:
14	Ross; is that right?	14	Q. If I can direct your attention to
15	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to	15	Page 18, which had a sentence that
16	form.	16	Mr. Tzanetopoulos asked you about. It states:
17	MR. SEAR: Are you asking him what	17	The \$8.5 million of deferred revenue accrued
18	he testified to or	18	for medical student education will be amortized
19	MR. LOUGHLIN: Well, in substance,	19	by later rotations done at St. John's Queens
20	what I'm trying to do is direct his attention	20	Hospital and Wyckoff with no impact on cash
21	to the subject.	21	flow.
22	MR. SEAR: Fine.	22	Do you have a recollection, at any time
23	Do you recall that testimony,	23	while you were involved in the engagement in
24	whatever it was?	24	'07 and '08, whether you were authorized to
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.	25	move students from one hospital to another who
	91		93
1	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:	1	were involved in clerkship rotations?
2	Q. And was that strategy successful?	2	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the
3	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to	3	form.
4	form.	4	MR. SEAR: I'll object to form.
5	THE WITNESS: As I recollect, I	5	But answer it as best you can.
6	was advised by legal counsel	6	THE WITNESS: It is my
7	MR. SEAR: Okay. Don't at this	7	recollection that we moved students fairly
8	point	8	freely between St. John's and Mary Immaculate,
9	MR. LOUGHLIN: Yeah. I don't want	9	because they were the same corporation.
10	you to I don't want you to disclose any	10	I don't have any recollection that
11	communications that you had with counsel at the	11	we did that with Wyckoff. But, again, that's
12	time.	12	my recollection.
L3	My question was my question to	13	MR. LOUGHLIN: That's all I can
L 4	you was whether the strategy of replacing the	14	ask you for, is your best recollection.
L5	ACU slots	15	Could I just have a moment?
L6	THE WITNESS: Well, the strategy	16	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:
L7	was to get as all the strategies were, was	17	Q. Mr. Singleton, did you have any
18	to get more cash into these institutions, so	18	awareness, during the time that you were
L 9	they can continue to survive while we	19	involved, that there were clerkship rotations
50	stabilized them. My recollection is we	20	available at one or more ambulatory
21	achieved that end, but not the way that we	21	facilities
22	intended to.	22	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to
23	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:	23	form.
24	Q. You are aware, are you not, that that	24	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:
25	strategy gave rise to a lawsuit	25	Q of Caritas, such as St. Matthew's.

to provide the university with an equivalent and one or more of its other facilities. more of more of its other facilities. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. But you may answer. MR. Didfmand's activities in relation to the fundraiser as being thought of as bizarre, do you have a recollection of what Mr. Hoffmand id or said that was regarded by your or others as bizarre? MR. SEAR: Object to the form. Dy MR. LOUGHLIN: O .— during 2007 and 2008? MR. SEAR: Object to the form. O .— during 2007 and 2008? MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the form. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in voidence. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in voidence. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in voidence. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in voidence. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in voidence. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in voidence. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in voidence. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in voidence. MR. SEAR		Case 1:09-cv-01410-KAM-RLM Do	ocum 8	rent 97-48 Filed 01/24/12 Page 27 of 45 PageID #:
a mumber of clerkships, as agreed to herein, at one or more of fits other facilities. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. But you may answer. THE WITNESS: Okay. The form of the question is, dot have any independent and the control of the question of being familiar with this— Q. Did you have any independent are purpose of BQHC. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, assets if that how it was said? MR. LOUGHLIN: Q. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, assets if that swar to une man, to my assets. THE WITNESS: I would not define the board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Weykoff to Carriats to board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Weykoff to Carriats to board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Weykoff to Carriats to board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Weykoff to Carriats to board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Weykoff to Carriats to board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Weykoff to Carriats to board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Weykoff to Carriats to board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Weykoff to Carriats to board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Weykoff to Carriats to board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Weykoff to Carriats to board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Weykoff to Carriats to because it assumes a fact not in evidence. But go alead and answer. Mr. TANETOPOULOS: Object to because it assume	١.,		1	2233
a cone of more of its other facilities. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to MR. SEAR: Object to the form. But you may answer. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. SEAR: I think that mistates the testimony. I think the testimenty was actions going well beyond the initial instance of the findratister were described by the witness on bizarre, do you have a recollection of being familiar with this — MR. SEAR: I think that mistates the testimony. I think the testimony was actions going well beyond the initial instance of the findratister were described by the witness on bizarre. MR. SEAR: I think the testimony was actions going well beyond the initial instance of the findratister was described by the witness on bizarre, do you have a recollection of what the testimony activates in relation to the form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. SEAR: I think the testimony was actions going well beyond the initial instance of the festimanty was actions going well beyond the initial instance of the festimanty. MR. LOUGHLIN: MR. DUCHEN: MR. DUCHEN: MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the form. MR. TYPE WITNESS: The purpose of BOHC, a state how it was said? MR. TYPE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, a carriage antity? MR. TYPE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, a carriage and the properties of the form. MR. TYPE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, a carriage and the properties of the form. MR. TYPE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two coordinate the activities between the activities between the activi	J			
MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. But you may answer. HE WITNESS: Closy. The form of the question is, do I have any independent of the question of being familiar with this— Q. Did you have any independent of the form. A. No. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQIIC? THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the the above it was said? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the statistic between the two corporations, assets at an operating entity? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the statistic between the two corporations, assets at an operating entity? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the statistic between the two corporations, assets at an operating entity? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the flow of the sasses and money from Wyckoff for Carrias to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. SEAR: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form				
5 form. 6 MR, SEAR: Object to the form. 7 But you may answer. 8 THE WITINESS: Okay. The form of the question is, do I bave any independent 10 the question is, do I bave any independent 11 the colored in the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the colored in the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the colored in the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the colored in the property of the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the colored in the property of the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the colored in the property of the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the colored in the property of the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the colored in the property of the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the colored in the property of the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the property of the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the property of the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the property of the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the property of the question is, do I bave any independent 12 the property of the question is do I bave any independent 12 the property of the question is do I bave any independent 12 the property of the question is do I bave any independent 12 the property of the question is do I bave any independent 12 the property of the question is do I bave any independent 12 the property of the property of the form 12 the property of the form 12 the property of the form 12 the property of the property of the form 12 the property of the property of the property of the form 12 the property of the property of the form 13 the property of the property of the form 14 the property of the property of the property of the property of the form 14 the property of the property of the property of the form 14 the property of the property of the form 14 the property of the property of the form 14 the property of the form 15 the				
6 MR, SEAR: Object to the form. 8 but you may answer. 9 the question is, do I have any independent 10 knowledge? 10 BY MR, LOUGHLIN: 11 Tree and this indicates the estimony. I think the testimony was actions going well beyond the initial instance of the first minimum that his in the estimony and the testimony. I think the testimony was actions going well beyond the initial instance of the first minimum that his interest he question. 17 Q. What was your understanding of the purpose of BQHC? 18 MR, SEAR: Object to the form. 19 MR, SEAR: Object to the form. 20 MR, TANNETOPOULOS: Object to 21 form. 21 MR, LOUGHLIN: Yes. 22 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, 2 caritas and Wyckoff. 23 THE WITNESS: I would not define 3 it as an operating entity? 24 MR, TANNETOPOULOS: Object to 6 form. 25 MR, TANNETOPOULOS: Object to 6 form. 26 MR, TANNETOPOULOS: Object to 6 form. 27 MR, TANNETOPOULOS: Object to 6 form. 28 MR, TANNETOPOULOS: Object to 6 form. 29 it as an operating entity. It had no operating it as an operating entity. It had no operating a sasets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. 29 MR, TANNETOPOULOS: Object to 6 form. 20 MR, SEAR: Object to the form, 19 because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 20 minimum that was the controversy that continued. 1 just said that was the first time I remember a controversy that continued. 1 just said that was the first time I remember a controversy that continued. 1 just said that was the first time I remember a controversy that continued. 1 just said that was the first time I remember a controversy that continued. 1 just said that was the first time I remember a controversy that continued. 1 just said that was the first time I remember a controversy that continued		· .		
THE WITNESS: Object to the form. But you may answer. THE WITNESS: Chay. The form of the question is, do I have any independent proceeding familiar with this. MR SEAR: I think that misstates the testimony. I think the testimony was actions going well beyond the initial instance of the findraiser were described by the witness as bizarre. But answer the question. But answer the question. THE WITNESS: That would certainly be yestimony, yes. No. No. MR LOUGHLIN: But answer the question. THE WITNESS: That would certainly be yestimony, yes. No. No. MR LOUGHLIN: But answer the question. THE WITNESS: That would certainly be yestimony, yes. No. No. MR LOUGHLIN: But answer the question. THE WITNESS: At one point, he was slipping notes under other senior management doors, closed office doors, accusing me of certain things that seemed bizarre to me and other managers. That's one thing, one instance that sticks out. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, and the testimony was as bizarre. MR TANETOPOULOS: Object to the form. MR LOUGHLIN: Description of being familiar with this.— MR LOUGHLIN: Description of being familiar with this.— Description of being familiar with this.— MR TEWITNESS: The purpose of BQHC. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, and the carrivities between the two corporations, and				
the question is, do I have any independent knowledge? The WITNESS: Okay, The form of knowledge? What LOUGHLIN: Out you have any independent properties of the fundraiser were described by the witness as bizarre. But answer the question. THE WITNESS: That would certainly be my testimony, yes. No. No. What was your understanding of the purpose of BQHC? MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to promain and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, as that how it was said? THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, and any object to promain and wyckoff. THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to sates, and money from Wyckoff to Carrisas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Carrisas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Carrisas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Carrisas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Carrisas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Carrisas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Carrisas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Carrisas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Carrisas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the flow. MR. TZANETOPOULOS	6	<u>-</u>		-
the question is, do I have any independent knowledge? BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Q. Did you have any independent 12 A. No. No. 14 A. No. No. 14 Q. What was your understanding of the 17 Pyournay answer. 19 MR. SEAR: I think that misstanes the testimony. I think the testimony was actions going well beyond the initial instance of the fundraiser were described by the witness as a bizarre. But answer the question. 14 But answer the question. 14 THE WITNESS: That would certainly be my testimony, yes. No. No. No. 16 MR. SEAR: Object to the form. 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 19 THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 19 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, 19 Caritas and Wyckoff. 19 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, 19 Caritas and Wyckoff. 19 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, 19 A. I don't remember. I mean, I really 20 don't remember. I was so - I don't remember exactly what his accusations were. 20 Well, do you have a recollection of what you mean, to my 11 TREWITNESS: I wauld not define 11 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate 12 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate 13 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate 13 A. I don't remember. I mean, I really 20 don't remember. I was so - I don't remember 20 was it an operating entity 2 don't remember. I was so - I don't remember 20 was it an operating entity 11 A. I don't remember. I was so - I don't remember 20 was it as an operating entity 11 A. I don't remember. I was so - I don't remember 20 was it as an operating entity 19 A. Lot's separate the two issues. 11 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate 21 By MR. LOUGHLIN: 20 Oby on have a recollection of what 21 A. I don't remember. I mean, I really 20 don't remember. I was so	7			
the testimony. I think the testimony was actions going well beyond the initial instance of the fundraiser were described by the witness as bizarre. But answer the question. THE WITNESS: That would certainly be wy testimony, yes. No. No. MR. LOUGHLIN: 1 understand. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, 10 mR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the activities between the two corporations, 3 Caritas and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 Contracting assets, if that's what you mean, to my assets, if that's what you mean, t	8			
accollection of being familiar with this — 12 of the fundraiser were described by the witness as abizare. 14 A. No. 14 But answer the question. 15 Q. — during 2007 and 2008? 15 But answer the question. 16 A. No. No. 16 But answer the question. 17 Q. What was your understanding of the purpose of BQHC? 19 MR. SEAR: Object to the form. 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the activities between the two corporations, 19 Caritas and Wyckoff. 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to stard an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my 10 form. 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to story. 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to story. 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 10 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to f	9	the question is, do I have any independent	9	
of the fundraiser were described by the witness as a bizarre. of the fundraiser were described by the witness as bizarre. of the fundraiser were described by the witness as bizarre. of the fundraiser were described by the witness as bizarre. of the fundraiser were described by the witness as bizarre. of the fundraiser were described by the witness as bizarre. of the fundraiser were described by the witness as bizarre. It witness: a bizarre. But answer the question. THE WITNESS: That would certainly be my testimony, yes. No. No. MR. LOUGHLIN: Inderstand. THE WITNESS: At one point, he was slipping notes under other senior management doors, closed office doors, accusing me of certain things that seemed bizarre to me and other managers. That's one thing, one instance that sticks out. is that how it was said? of the fundraiser were described by the witness as bizarre. THE WITNESS: That would certainly be my testimony, yes. No. No. MR. LOUGHLIN: Lours as lipping notes under other senior of enter senior management doors, closed office doors, accusing me of certain things that seemed bizarre to me and other managers. That's one thing, one instance that sticks out. ITHE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. A. I don't remember. I mean, I really don't remember. A. I don't remember. I mean, I really dother thanks as a operating entity? A. I don't remember. I mean, I really don't remember. A. I don't remember. I mean, I really dother thanks and upper and the transport of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that had was the controversy bat continued. I	10	knowledge?	10	the testimony. I think the testimony was
recollection of being familiar with this— A. No. Q. What was your understanding of the purpose of BQHC? MR. SEAR: Object to the form. You may answer. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating by MR. LOUGHLIN: THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating by MR. LOUGHLIN: BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Characterist if that's what you mean, to my recollection. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. Characterist if that's what you mean, to my recollection. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. Characterist if that's what you mean, to my recollection. Characterist if that's what you mean, to my recollection. Characterist if that's what you mean, to my recollection. Characterist if that's what you mean, to my recollection. Characterist if that's what you mean, to my recollection. Characterist in the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that had and an controversy. I am not saying a say said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, board at wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: My recollection would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. A. Oday. O. Do you have a recollection of what	11	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:	11	actions going well beyond the initial instance
A. No. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. 19	12	Q. Did you have any independent	12	of the fundraiser were described by the witness
1.5 Q. —during 2007 and 2008? 1.6 A. No. No. 1.7 Q. What was your understanding of the purpose of BQHC? 1.8 purpose of BQHC? 1.9 MR. SEAR. Object to the form. 1.9 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 1.0 THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, 1.1 THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, 1.2 is that how it was said? 1.2 MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. 1.0 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, 1.0 Caritas and Wyckoff. 1.0 Was it an operating entity? 1.0 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 1.1 THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating sasets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. 1.0 By MR. LOUGHLIN: 1.0 Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff for Caritas to stop? 1.0 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 1.1 By MR. LOUGHLIN: 1.2 By MR. LOUGHLIN: 1.3 Q. Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff for Caritas to stop? 1.4 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 1.5 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 1.1 By MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 1.2 By MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 1.3 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 1.5 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 1.5 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 1.5 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 1.5 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 1.6 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, object to the form. 1.7 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 1.8 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 1.9 MR. SEAR: Object to the form. 1.0 Decause it assumes a fact not in evidence. 1.0 Decause it assumes a fact not in evidence. 1.0 Decause it assumes a fact not in evidence. 1.1 THE WITNESS: Mas to coordinate the management and the can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. 1.0 Let me just try one last fine. 1.1 THE WITNESS: Mas	13	recollection of being familiar with this	13	as bizarre.
be M. No. No. Q. What was your understanding of the purpose of BQHC? MR. SEAR: Object to the form. You may answer. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, is that how it was said? MR. LOUGHLIN: I understand. THE WITNESS: A cone point, he was slipping notes under other senior management doors, closed office doors, accusing me of certain things that seemed bizarre to me and other managers. That's one thing, one instance that sticks out. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Q. Was it an operating entity? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Q. Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form. Decause it sasumes a fact not in evidence. But go shead and answer. THE WITNESS: My recollection to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the success of what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Do you have a recollection of what	14	A. No.	14	But answer the question.
Q. What was your understanding of the purpose of BQHC? MR. SEAR: Object to the form. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 5 off. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, is that how it was said? MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. MR. LOUGHLIN: The withings that seemed bizarre to me and other managers. That's one thing, one instance that sticks out. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. Was it an operating entity? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 off. THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 6 ossets and money from Wyckoff. MR.	15	Q during 2007 and 2008?	15	THE WITNESS: That would certainly
purpose of BQBC? MR. SEAR: Object to the form. You may answer. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, is that how it was said? MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. Py MR. LOUGHLIN: THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Q. Was it an operating entity? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, be was slipping notes under other senior management doors, closed office doors, accusing me of certain things that seemed bizarre to me and other managers. That's one thing, one instance that sticks out. A. I don't remember. I mean, I really don't remember. I mean, I really don't remember. It was so – I don't remember exactly what his accusations were. Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I that was the controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, Decause it assumes a fact not in evidence. But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: At one point accounts doors, accusing me of certain things that seemed bizare to me and other managers. That's one thing, one instance that sticks out. A. I don't remember. I was so – I don't remember exactly what his accustations were. Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what they one head of the fundraiser. The mit man, I really don't remember. I man to saving a season. The mit m	16	A. No. No.	16	be my testimony, yes. No. No.
MR. SEAR: Object to the form. You may answer. NR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, is that how it was said? MR. LOUGHLIN: THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my croollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Was tan operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my more collection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Was tan operating entity. It had no operating assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, Decause it assumes a fact not in evidence. THE WITNESS: My recollection would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, Decause it assumes a fact not in evidence. THE WITNESS: My recollection would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. MR. Okay. Slipping notes under office doors, accusing me of certain things that seemed bizarre to me and cortaint denot post and event and event and event and event and event and event and the say in the sast give the extent was the controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that has a the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the finulariaser. The witness: A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the finulariaser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that has a the first time I remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the st	17	Q. What was your understanding of the	17	MR. LOUGHLIN: I understand.
You may answer. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, is that how it was said? MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. A. I don't remember. I mean, I really don't remember. It was so — I don't remember exactly what his accusations were. What you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. THE WITNESS: No possible to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. THE WITNESS: No possible to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. THE WITNESS: No possible to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. THE WITNESS: No possible to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. THE WITNESS: No possible to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. THE WITNESS: What they were. A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay, Q. Do you have a recollection of what	18	purpose of BQHC?	18	THE WITNESS: At one point, he was
certain things that seemed bizarre to me and other managers. That's one thing, one instance that sticks out. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, is that how it was said? MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 What is an operating entity? 4 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating sasest, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 O. Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff for Caritas to stop? 4 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 5 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to sasets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? 5 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 5 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, 5 But go ahead and answer. 7 But go ahead and answer. 7 THE WITNESS: Mas to coordinate that sticks out. 8 A. I don't remember. I mean, I really don't remember. It was a seculations were. 9 Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. 1 Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. 1 Q. Let me just try one last time. 1 Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. 2 A. Okay. 1 Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. 2 Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. 2 Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. 3 Q. Do you have a reco	19	MR. SEAR: Object to the form.	19	slipping notes under other senior management
certain things that seemed bizarre to me and other managers. That's one thing, one instance that sticks out. THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, is that how it was said? MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 What is an operating entity? 4 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating sasest, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 O. Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff for Caritas to stop? 4 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 5 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to sasets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? 5 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 5 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, 5 But go ahead and answer. 7 But go ahead and answer. 7 THE WITNESS: Mas to coordinate that sticks out. 8 A. I don't remember. I mean, I really don't remember. It was a seculations were. 9 Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. 1 Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. 1 Q. Let me just try one last time. 1 Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. 2 A. Okay. 1 Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. 2 Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. 2 Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. 3 Q. Do you have a reco	20		20	doors, closed office doors, accusing me of
THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, is that how it was said? MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 A. I don't remember. I mean, I really don't remember. It was so — I don't remember exactly what his accusations were. Was it an operating entity? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: CHAPTER OF THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: CHAPTER OF THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: A. I don't remember. I was so — I don't remember exactly what his accusations were. Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that awas in controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. CHAPTER OF THE WITNESS: My recollection of what the success of the fundraiser.	21		21	certain things that seemed bizarre to me and
THE WITNESS: The purpose of BQHC, is that how it was said? MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. 1 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Q. Was it an operating entity? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating entity recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating entity recollection. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the form, It was so on I don't remember. It was so on I don't remember exactly what his accusations were. Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form, It was so on I don't remember and I had a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. The int morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. Q. Do you have a recollection of what	22	-	22	
24 is that how it was said? 25 MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. 26 Q. Accusing you of what? 1 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, 3 Caritas and Wyckoff. 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 5 Q. Was it an operating entity? 6 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 7 form. 8 THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. 21 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 25 Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? 26 A. Let's separate the two issues. 3 I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying—as I said, I don't intend to say that the was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. 3 The will be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 3 Was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? 4 A. Let's separate the two issues. 5 I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying—as I said, I don't intend to say that the was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. 5 Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what his oaccustions were. 5 Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what they were and that might refresh my memory. 6 Let me just try one last time. 7 Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. 8 A. Let's separate the two issues. 9 I remember in twas so —I don't remember in the activities accust to searchly what his accustations were. 9 Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff	23		23	
25 MR. LOUGHLIN: Yes. 99 101 1 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, 2 Caritas and Wyckoff. 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 5 Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? 6 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my 10 assets, if that's what you mean, to my 11 recollection. 12 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 12 Q. Do you have a recollection of when the 13 do not not work the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. 16 stop? 16 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? 17 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 18 form. 18 mR. SEAR: Object to the form, 19 because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 19 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, 19 because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 20 would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 24 M. Okay. 25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what			24	
1 THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, 3 Caritas and Wyckoff. 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 5 Q. Was it an operating entity? 6 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 7 form. 8 THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. 9 It recollection. 10 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 11 Q. Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? 10 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. 11 Sophyman as I said, I don't remember. It was so — I don't remember exactly what his accusations were. 12 Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? 13 A. I don't remember. It was so — I don't remember exactly what his accusations were. 14 Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? 15 A. I don't remember. It was so — I don't remember exactly what his accusations were. 16 Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? 16 A. Let's separate the two issues. 18 I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't rimember. 18 about the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. 16 stop? 18 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 19 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 20 But go ahead and answer. 21 But go ahead and answer. 22 But go ahead and answer. 23 Would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 24 Woll about the fundraiser. 25 Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. 26 Direct your attention of what			i	
THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Q. Was it an operating entity? Form. THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my Trecollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Q. Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to stop? MR. SEAR: Object to the form, But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: My recollection MR. SEAR: Object to the form, But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: My recollection Was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. A. I don't remember. I mean, I really don't remember Exactly what his accusations were. Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. I don't remember. A. I don't remember. A. I don't remember. I mean, I really don't remember exactly what his accusations were. A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, he fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the	ļ		_+	
the activities between the two corporations, Caritas and Wyckoff. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I would not define sit as an operating entity. It had no operating recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: My recollection Was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. A Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what his accusations were. Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, It was so — I don't remember exactly what his accusations were. Q. Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, gredifically, the fundraiser. The and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember exactly what his accusations were. Q. Do you have a recollection of what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. Q. Do you have a recollection of what		99	<i>)</i>	101
3 Caritas and Wyckoff. 4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 5 Q. Was it an operating entity? 6 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 7 form. 8 THE WITNESS: I would not define 9 it as an operating entity. It had no operating 10 assets, if that's what you mean, to my 11 recollection. 12 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 13 Q. Do you have a recollection of when the 14 board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of 15 assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to 16 stop? 17 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 18 form. 19 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 19 form. 10 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 10 form. 11 sq. Die you have a recollection of when the 14 board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of 15 assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to 16 stop? 17 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 18 form. 19 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, 19 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, 19 Decause it assumes a fact not in evidence. 20 But go ahead and answer. 21 But go ahead and answer. 22 THE WITNESS: My recollection 23 would be very early in the assignment. That 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what his accusations were. 26 Well, do you have a recollection of what the undraiser? 27 A. Let's separate the two issues. 28 I remember, specifically, the 29 fundraiser was the first time I remember 20 that was the controversy that continued. I 21 just said that was the first time I remember a 22 controversy between he and I, was over the 23 fundraiser. 24 which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember 25 exactly what his accusations were. 26 Let me just try one last time. 27 Direct your attention solely to the 28 issue of the fundraiser. 29 A. Okay. 20 Do you have a recollection of what	1	THE WITNESS: Was to coordinate	1	A. I don't remember. I mean, I really
4 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 5 Q. Was it an operating entity? 6 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 7 form. 8 THE WITNESS: I would not define 9 it as an operating entity. It had no operating 10 assets, if that's what you mean, to my 11 recollection. 12 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 13 Q. Do you have a recollection of when the 14 board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of 15 assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to 16 stop? 17 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 18 form. 19 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, 20 because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 21 But go ahead and answer. 22 THE WITNESS: My recollection 23 would be very early in the assignment. That 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 25 Well, do you have a recollection of what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. Q. Do you have a recollection of what	2	the activities between the two corporations,	2	don't remember. It was so I don't remember
MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Q. Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of form. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to saying—as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the form. MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: My recollection would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. what you believe he was saying to senior staff about the fundraiser? A. Let's separate the two issues. I remember, specifically, the fundraiser was the first time that I remember that hat had a controversy. I am not saying—as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. Q. Do you have a recollection of what	3	Caritas and Wyckoff.	3	exactly what his accusations were.
6 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 7 form. 8 THE WITNESS: I would not define 9 it as an operating entity. It had no operating 10 assets, if that's what you mean, to my 11 recollection. 12 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 13 Q. Do you have a recollection of when the 14 board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of 15 assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to 16 form. 17 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 18 form. 19 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, 19 because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 20 would be very early in the assignment. That 21 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 22 Was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 24 A. Let's separate the two issues. 1 remember, specifically, the 9 fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not 10 saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that 11 that was the controversy that continued. I 12 that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the 13 just said that was the first time I remember a 14 controversy between he and I, was over the 15 fiundraiser. 16 The it morphed into other things, 17 which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him 18 on the stand and he can testify as to what they 19 were and that might refresh my memory. 20 Let me just try one last time. 21 Direct your attention solely to the 23 issue of the fundraiser. 24 A. Okay. 25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what	4	BY MR. LOUGHLIN:	4	Q. Well, do you have a recollection of
7 A. Let's separate the two issues. 8 THE WITNESS: I would not define 9 it as an operating entity. It had no operating 10 assets, if that's what you mean, to my 11 recollection. 12 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 13 Q. Do you have a recollection of when the 14 board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of 15 assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to 16 stop? 17 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 18 form. 19 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, 19 because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 20 because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 21 But go ahead and answer. 22 THE WITNESS: My recollection 23 would be very early in the assignment. That 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 26 Let me just try one last time. 27 A. Let's separate the two issues. 18 I remember, specifically, the 19 fundraiser was the first time that I remember 10 that he and I had a controversy. I am not 11 saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that 12 that was the controversy that continued. I 13 just said that was the first time I remember a 14 controversy between he and I, was over the 15 fundraiser. 16 fundraiser. 17 Then it morphed into other things, 18 which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember 19 exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him 19 on the stand and he can testify as to what they 19 were and that might refresh my memory. 20 Let me just try one last time. 21 Direct your attention solely to the 22 issue of the fundraiser. 23 A. Okay. 24 A. Okay. 25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what	5	Q. Was it an operating entity?	5	what you believe he was saying to senior staff
THE WITNESS: I would not define it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Q. Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: I would not define fundraiser was the first time that I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. Q. Do you have a recollection of what	6	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to	6	about the fundraiser?
it as an operating entity. It had no operating assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: O Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, MR. SEAR: Object to the form, But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: My recollection Was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. Mar. The was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. Description It as an operating entity. It had no operating that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the controversy that continued. I had a controversy that continued. I just said that was the controversy that continued. I had a controversy th	7	form.	7	A. Let's separate the two issues.
10 assets, if that's what you mean, to my 11 recollection. 12 BY MR. LOUGHLIN: 13 Q. Do you have a recollection of when the 14 board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of 15 assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to 16 stop? 17 MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 18 form. 19 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, 19 because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 20 But go ahead and answer. 21 THE WITNESS: My recollection 23 would be very early in the assignment. That 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 25 Indicate that in and I had a controversy. I am not 26 saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that 27 that was the controversy that continued. I 28 just said that was the first time I remember a 29 controversy between he and I, was over the 20 fiundraiser. 21 Then it morphed into other things, 22 which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember 23 exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him 24 on the stand and he can testify as to what they 25 were and that might refresh my memory. 26 Let me just try one last time. 27 Direct your attention solely to the 28 issue of the fundraiser. 29 Q. Do you have a recollection of what	8	THE WITNESS: I would not define	8	I remember, specifically, the
assets, if that's what you mean, to my recollection. BY MR. LOUGHLIN: Q. Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. MR. THE WITNESS: My recollection would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. that he and I had a controversy. I am not saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. Q. Do you have a recollection of what	9	it as an operating entity. It had no operating	9	" · · · · · ·
saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they because it assumes a fact not in evidence. But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: My recollection would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. Is saying — as I said, I don't intend to say that that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. Q. Do you have a recollection of what	10		10	that he and I had a controversy. I am not
that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Rue Tane Topoulos: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. But go ahead and answer. The WITNESS: My recollection would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. that was the controversy that continued. I just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. O. Do you have a recollection of what		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Q. Do you have a recollection of when the board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: My recollection would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. Ja just said that was the first time I remember a controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. The it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. Q. Do you have a recollection of what	1		1	
board at Wyckoff first asked for the flow of assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to form. MR. SEAR: Object to the form, because it assumes a fact not in evidence. But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: My recollection would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. controversy between he and I, was over the fundraiser. The nit morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. Okay.	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
assets and money from Wyckoff to Caritas to stop? MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 17 which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. But go ahead and answer. 21 Q. Let me just try one last time. THE WITNESS: My recollection 22 would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 24 A. Okay. Direct your attention of what	l.	•	1	<u> </u>
Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. But go ahead and answer. But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: My recollection would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. Then it morphed into other things, which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. A. Okay. Do you have a recollection of what	1	•	I	1
MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Object to 17 which, to be honest with you, I cannot remember exactly what they were. Maybe we can put him on the stand and he can testify as to what they were and that might refresh my memory. 20 because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 21 But go ahead and answer. 22 THE WITNESS: My recollection 23 would be very early in the assignment. That 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what	l .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
18 form. 19 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, 20 because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 21 But go ahead and answer. 22 THE WITNESS: My recollection 23 would be very early in the assignment. That 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what		_	l	
19 MR. SEAR: Object to the form, 20 because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 21 But go ahead and answer. 22 THE WITNESS: My recollection 23 would be very early in the assignment. That 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what		-		·
because it assumes a fact not in evidence. 20 were and that might refresh my memory. 21 But go ahead and answer. 22 THE WITNESS: My recollection 23 would be very early in the assignment. That 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 25 Q. Let me just try one last time. Direct your attention solely to the issue of the fundraiser. 24 A. Okay. 25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what			i	•
But go ahead and answer. 21 Q. Let me just try one last time. 22 THE WITNESS: My recollection 23 would be very early in the assignment. That 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what			l .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
THE WITNESS: My recollection 22 Direct your attention solely to the 23 would be very early in the assignment. That 24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what	1		l	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 would be very early in the assignment. That was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. Q. Do you have a recollection of what 		-		
24 was a constant concern of the board at Wyckoff. 24 A. Okay. 25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what		•	i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25 Q. Do you have a recollection of what		• •	1	
	1	was a constant concern of the board at wyckoff.		
	K 2		<u>k</u> 3	

	2254	1	
1	Mr. Hoffman was saying to other senior staff	1	with about this strategy?
2	about the request that they participate in the	2	A. Again, if he was still an employee of
3	fundraiser?	3	the company, that's where I would have started;
4	A. I don't recollect, one way or the	4	but I have no specific recollection of that.
5	other, whether he said anything to the other	5	Q. Fair enough. Mr. Loughlin asked you
6	senior staff about whether they participate in	6	about your understanding of your authority to
7	the fundraiser. He and I had some conversation	7	sign contracts on behalf of the different
8	about his participation in the fundraiser.	8	entities, and you identified some individuals
9	Okay. Again, I did not intend for my	9	that you talked to about that authority.
10	testimony to say that was the issue that he was	10	And if I'm remembering this right, you
11	addressing with other senior managers. It	11	talked to Mr. Rucigay, Mr. Hoffman and
12	could have been initially, but then that	12	Mr. Zall, correct?
13	morphed into other things, but I don't remember	13	A. That's my recollection, yes.
14	exactly what they were.	14	Q. Anybody else?
15	But, at one point, he said he was going	15	A. Again, I think I testified I talked to
16	to the board's committee on the board with	16	people from FTI about their understanding of
17	an accusation against me. And when I asked him	17	the contract.
18	what that accusation was, he wouldn't tell me.	18	Q. Let's start with Mr. Rucigay. What did
19	I do remember that. But I don't remember if he	19	Mr. Rucigay tell you about your authority to
20	ever went to the committee or not.	20	sign contracts?
21	I mean, but anyway.	21	A. He said I had the authority to sign
22	MR. LOUGHLIN: I think we're done.	22	contracts.
23	Thank you very much, Mr. Singleton.	23	Q. What did Mr. Zall tell you about your
24	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Just a few	24	authority to sign contracts?
25	more, raised by Mr. Loughlin's questions.	25	MR. HOFFMAN: Objection
	103	}	105
1	EXAMINATION	1	MR. LOUGHLIN: Objection,
2	BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:	2	privileged.
3	Q. Let me direct your attention to	3	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: You've opened
4	Exhibit 8. That's the e-mail chain between you	4	that door.
5	and Mr. Romero.	5	MR. LOUGHLIN: No.
6	MR. LOUGHLIN: Off the record.	6	MR. SEAR: Without I'm not
7	(Discussion off the record.)	7	going to take a position on whether the
8	BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:	8	privilege has been waived or not.
9	Q. You and Mr. Loughlin had some	9	But in light of the position taken
10	discussion about strategy, selling slots to	10	by counsel for the hospital, I'm going to
11	Ross and buying out AUC. And I believe you	11	direct the witness not to answer.
12	testified that you consulted with legal counsel	12	BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:
13	about the strategy.	13	Q. What did Mr. Hoffman tell you about
L 4	If I can direct your attention to the	14	your authority to sign contracts?
15	bottom of Exhibit 8. Mr. Romero writes	15	MR. LOUGHLIN: Objection,
L 6	MR. SEAR: Which page?	16	privileged.
L7	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: Second one,	17	MR. TZANETOPOULOS: You've opened
L 8	19858.	18	the door.
Ĺ9	BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:	19	MR. SEAR: Same direction.
20	Q. If we go with Plan B, I recommend an	20	BY MR. TZANETOPOULOS:
21	airtight exit with a complete review of the	21	Q. After consulting with Mr. Zall and
22	agreement with AUC. I sent David Hoffman a	22	Mr. Hoffman, did you think you had authority to
23	copy of the contract last month, then he goes	23	sign contracts on behalf of these entities?
24	on.	24	MR. LOUGHLIN: Objection. That's,
		h r	

Was it Mr. Hoffman that you consulted

essentially, a backdoor way of asking what the

23

24

25

:2

:3

4

5

Proskauer and internal counsel. That's been

the clear position that we have articulated to

And so we have a factual

counsel for the hospitals at all times.

Case 1:09-0	v-01410-KAM-RLM Document 97-48 Filed 2296 ¹¹⁰	01/24/12 Page 30 of 45 PageID #:
	1 ERRATA	
	2	
	3 I, THOMAS SINGLETON, having read the	
	foregoing deposition, Pages 1 through 109,	
	4 taken July 8, 2011, do hereby certify said	
	testimony is a true and accurate transcript,	
	5 with the following changes, if any:	
•	6	
	7 PAGE LINE SHOULD HAVE BEEN	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	<u> </u>	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21 THOMAS SINGLETON	
	22 23	
1	23 24	
i	Notary Public	
	25 My commission expires:	
	<u> </u>	
	111	
	1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
	2 I, Trine M. Mitchell, RPR, Notary	
	3 Public and Court Reporter, do hereby certify	
	4 that I recorded to the best of my skill and	
	5 ability by machine shorthand all the	
	6 proceedings in the foregoing transcript, and	
	7 that said transcript is a true, accurate and	
	8 correct transcript to the best of my ability.	
	9 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not	
ļ	an attorney or counsel of any of the parties,	
ļ	nor a relative or employee of any attorney or	
<u> </u>	counsel connected with the action, nor	
	13 financially interested in the action.	
	14 Signed this 19th day of July,	
ļ	15 2011.	
Į	16	
	17	
· J	18	
þ	19	
į	20	
	21	
ļ.	22	
	Trine M. Mitchell, RPR	
	23	
þ	24 My commission expires: 1/6/15	
	Tennessee LCR No. 284	
þ	25 Expires: 6/30/2012	

A	
ability 76:4 111:	5
111:8	~
able 69:10	
above-referred	
	_
11:1,3,5 16:7,1	9
27:24 28:12	
60:14	
absent 35:19	
absolute 17:3	
absolutely 36:24	
40:18 48:16	
88:14 107:13	
abusive 81:7	
acclimated 41:11	
accommodate 5:25	
accomplishments	
89:23	
accounting 61:8	
accounts 61:7,24	
accrued 21:21 45:	6
92:17	
accuracy 15:24	
accurate 110:4	
111:7	
accusation 102:17	
102:18	
accusations 101:3	
accusing 58:7	
100:20,25	
achieve 90:7	
achieved 91:21	
acquiesced 59:20	
action 48:23 51:1	1
95:20 111:12,13	
actions 100:11	
107:19	
activities 99:2	
100:4	
ACU 91:15	
add 36:11,11 80:1	7
81:19,20	Ċ
additional 15:12	
45:18 53:4	
address 5:5	
addressing 102:11	
Administrative	
3:13,14 68:9	
73:16	
admit 63:1	
advances 19:5,19	
19:22,22 64:3,8	
advice 75:3,24	
advise 42:6	
advised 91:6	
advising 38:13	
42:9	
affiliate 25:20	
affiliate 25:20 affiliates 7:8,9	
9:8 10:22	
9:0 10:22	

2298
affiliation 3:9,9
alliliacion 5.9,9
3:11 11:13,19,23 13:5 19:12 49:10 78:3 90:11 95:9
13:5 19:12 49:10
78:3 90:11 95:9
agencies 64:2,8
agent 37:9
agitating 56:1
58:6
ago 23:15 50:13
106:18
agree 32:9 62:9
agreed 4:11 13:13
98:2
agreement 3:9,10
3:11,13,14 11:14
3:11,13,14 11:14
11:19,23 13:1,6
13:8,11 19:12
48:2 64:25 68:9
68:15 70:6 73:17
75:11,11 76:18
76:19 77:8 78:3
79:11 90:11 95:9
95:9,10,17,21
97:25 103:22
agreements 29:18
49:11,13 63:12
63:14 64:6 81:13
01.14 05.02
81:14 95:23
agrees 13:11 97:25
ahead 50:21 57:6
58:18 70:17
80:18 99:21
00.10 99.21
aid 32:2 38:17
airtight 103:21
allocation 30:3
alternatives 42:10
ambiguity 97:22
ambiguity 97.22
ambulatory 93:20
Amendment 3:9,10
11:18,23 12:20 12:21,22 14:8
12.21.22 14.8
19:15 47:25 48:7
19:13 47:23 40:7
49:1,15,16 50:22
78:3,24 79:11
90:10
Amendments 95:17
America 7:11
American 7:24
19:23
amortizations 24:2
amortize 23:25
24:7
amortized 21:22
22:12 92:18
amortizing 23:20
24:6
amount 53:9 60:13
62:11,12 85:6
analysis 24:24
analytic 25:4
answer 5:20 17:3

```
21:11 25:7 27:10
  31:16 32:12 36:8
  36:12 39:5,11
  49:8,20 51:3
  55:18 56:9,22
  65:17 66:13
  70:17 71:5 72:22
  73:21 74:13 75:9
  76:3,21 77:19
  78:18 81:6 85:1
  90:2 93:5 98:7
 98:20 99:21
  100:14 105:11
  106:11 108:3
answered 80:15
answering 72:18
answers 5:15,16
anybody 31:7 39:20
  49:10 53:17,23
 54:22 104:14
anyway 49:8 71:13
 102:21
apologize 46:6
apparel 7:6
apparently 46:16
appearance 17:8
APPEARANCES 2:1
appears 68:22
application 83:13
 83:18
appoint 67:19
appointed 66:21
 67:8 68:3 79:18
appointment 66:25
 67:14
apposed 59:6
appreciate 69:11
 80:24
approval 36:17
 40:11 77:12,22
 78:5,16,24 80:10
 82:5
approved 50:17
 79:18 84:19
April 9:12
arising 73:15
arrangement 41:17
arrangements 42:6
arrival 95:11
Article 69:17
articulated 107:23
ASA 74:1 75:5
 76:10
asked 58:11,15
 68:24 73:3 74:23
 76:7 78:22 92:16
 94:7 99:14
 102:17 104:5
asking 5:14 65:5
 65:17 70:14
```

73:20,21 74:20

```
75:14,23 78:8,21
 79:1 86:7 90:17
  105:25
assert 106:6
asserted 106:9
asserting 78:9
assets 8:22,23
  30:10 99:10,15
assignment 25:25
 26:1 55:23 68:16
  72:8 99:23
assume 23:13,16
  47:21 48:9 65:2
  66:23 67:10
 83:15,22 86:24
assumes 77:16
 99:20
assumption 24:5,24
assumptions 24:22
 24:23 25:4 26:3
attached 12:21
 42:18
attained 6:15
attempt 26:13
attend 51:16, 19, 22
attention 12:19
 18:14 28:17
 29:23 42:17
 47:24 48:25 52:7
 52:18 55:12
 68:25 69:16,18
 72:1 80:7 81:10
 83:5 84:7 85:9
 85:20 86:12
 90:10,20 92:14
 95:8 96:8 100:2
 101:22 103:3,14
attorney 36:23
 55:7 111:10,11
attorneys 76:18
 77:5
attorney/client
 38:1 72:16 78:9
AUC 43:11 44:5
 45:4,12,17,17,21
 46:23 90:13,13
 92:2 103:11,22
AUC's 42:19 43:23
audited 18:10,12
 18:21
auditors 18:17
authority 30:18
 31:14 32:4,10
 34:23 35:2 39:1
 39:25 72:3,10
 74:24,24 75:4,16
 76:1,5,9,17,20
 77:1,3,7 104:6,9
 104:19,21,24
 105:14,22
authorized 37:9
```

		- 2299	
1			1 42 22 44 25
92:24 107:20	86:10,17 87:5,8	41:2 48:3 63:11	43:23 44:25
available 93:20	88:3 90:9 94:8	63:13 64:22	46:24 47:7,13
106:19	101:5 103:11	66:22 68:12,15	51:20 53:5,13
Avenue 2:9	beneficiary 63:3	69:24 70:7 71:1	61:3,11,20,21,22
avoiding 107:3	benefit 63:10	71:25 72:12	62:3,7,13 63:15
aware 19:18 63:25	best 17:1,5 21:11	77:21 83:6 84:12	64:2,4,7 67:9,11
64:3,5 66:24,24	27:10 31:16	87:24,25 92:3,6	67:12 68:16 70:7
67:13 68:5 70:5	32:12 45:14	95:1 97:25 98:18	70:25 72:13
70:21 71:6 91:24	49:20 55:6,25	98:23	77:21 84:23
92:1,7	59:6,23,25 60:4	BQHC's 34:7	85:15 86:3,15,19
awareness 93:18	61:5 62:17 74:13	breach 13:11 97:25	87:14,24 88:2,6
a.m1:18,18	80:19 88:3 90:2	break 5:24 40:17	88:12 89:7 92:2
	93:5,14 111:4,8	80:4	93:25 99:3,15
В	better 21:7 62:25	briefly7:22	108:13
B13:7 43:20,24	beyond 100:11	bring 10:9,12 88:6	case1:6 37:8
44:1,2 46:11,22	birthday 6:13	Brooklyn-Queens	64:22
103:20	bizarre 58:9,10	1:8 9:7,15 11:15	cases 39:22 53:7
BA 6:23	100:5,8,13,21	11:20,24 51:23	cash 9:18,20 10:5
bachelor's 6:22	block 29:9 96:13	brought 55:11	10:7 15:11 21:24
back 7:5, 25 13:4	board 10:14,16	60:10 107:16	24:10,13,17,21
20:3 22:19,21	17:15,17 20:9,14	building 61:24	25:9,14 53:5,11
36:10,13,21	26:7,18 27:14,17	62:4,12,14 63:6	54:9,10,12,14
40:22 44:9 46:6	27:18,18 29:16	63:8 87:1	61:23 63:15 64:3
47:15,24 54:16	31:21 34:12	built 7:14	89:7 91:18 92:20
57:10,14 63:20	36:16 39:22	bullet 21:20	94:20
69:17 75:21	40:11,14,14	burden 106:8	cashed 45:17
76:21 92:9 94:2	51:17,20,23 52:3	business 8:5,14	cause 55:14 56:5
97:18 108:10	53:18,21 54:1,4	9:5 18:24 61:6	caused 57:16
backdoor 105:25	54:22 56:3 58:13	61:13,18 62:6	Center 1:9 60:20
bad 92:8	58:17,22 59:11	71:11	61:2
Baker 2:3	59:13,16,19	buy 8:6 87:24	central 61:6,9
balance 62:20	60:20 66:23	buying 103:11	CEO 7:23 10:17
90:12	67:10,11,12,17		27:12 28:8 29:4
bankrupt 88:13	68:2,5 71:16	С	31:20 36:18
bankruptcy 9:16	74:17,21 77:12	call 9:3	65:22 66:10,18
based 20:20 22:14	77:24 79:22,23	called 4:23 7:13	66:21 67:8,19
45:19 107:8	82:5,14,22,24	7:23	70:25 71:9
basically 10:10	83:3,12 84:9,19	Cambio 8:1 9:7	certain 23:24
27:12,19	87:11,23,25,25	30:2,5 64:25	36:17 100:21
basis 24:6 37:10	88:1,2 99:14,24	68:15 69:4,24	107:8
37:25 41:12	102:16 107:20	70:23 72:3,10	certainly 40:12
58:15 107:5	108:16	88:23 89:14	50:17 71:15
Bates 41:1	boards 25:19,20	94:18,20,21	79:16 82:23
bears 69:1	26:9 30:16 34:6	97:11	100:15
beat 44:18	34:10,11,13	cap 42:20 43:14	certificate 4:8
began 32:17 38:22	39:17 40:3 52:16	45:22,25	111:1
58:6 80:8	108:16	capacity 37:17	certify 110:4
beginning 33:21	board's 102:16	55:4 66:19	111:3,9
55:22 81:11	Bob 65:22	caption 4:8	cetera 4:8
begins 96:25	body 5:21	care 1:8 7:7,24	CFO 27:12 65:23
behalf 1:16 4:2	boss 14:23 15:2	9:8,15 11:15,20	chain 34:10 103:4
28:23 29:12	bottom 21:20 84:14	11:24 51:23 52:6	chairman 29:16
34:14,17,20 35:2	103:15	careful 78:12	59:16
40:4 54:21 69:4	bought 8:3	Caribbean 19:23	chance 12:16
70:23 72:4,12	BQHC 9:15,25,25	53:3	changes 110:5
75:6 104:7	10:9,17,21 11:25	Caritas 3:14 10:2	characterization
105:23	13:6,11 14:5	10:4 18:11 19:1	75:12
beliefs 107:5	15:7 16:13 27:18	19:24 27:15 29:5	characterize 75:10
1		32:2,19,21,23,25	75:11 85:3
believe 16:4 17:22	28:9 30:1,4,6,9] 34:4,13,41,43,43	70.11 00.0
believe 16:4 17:22 29:4 43:6 55:9	28:9 30:1,4,6,9 33:25 34:3,21,25	34:7,18 37:10,16	check 48:14 50:23

chief 52:9,10 65:2 66:1,2,8 70:2,7 70:24 84:16 **chose** 26:6,7 circumstances 70:15 City 38:9 **Civil** 4:6 claims 64:7 Claire 55:5 60:7,8 **clause** 75:25 76:16 77:6 **clear** 33:19 35:6 37:13 39:7 67:2 75:13 78:16 107:12,17,23 108:4 clearly 45:10 clerks 41:18 clerkship 41:9,13 53:10 54:24 93:1 93:19 clerkships 13:13 13:23 14:6 19:24 22:16 23:11 24:3 24:7,15,19 41:14 41:21 43:13 54:6 98:2 **client** 107:16 **clients** 107:17 **close** 20:9 50:21 closed 22:7,13,17 25:11 47:6 72:2 100:20 closely 59:8 closing 24:25collected 61:7 colloguy 106:9 coma 46:25 come 41:10 45:16 coming 50:8 54:9 **comment** 44:17 commentary 25:7 commented 53:15 commission 110:25 111:24 commit 65:22,23 committed 23:23 committee 58:17 102:16,20 communicated 40:12 communication 72:17 106:1 communications 35:13,21 36:3 72:16 73:1 74:7 74:17,21 78:20 78:22 91:11 107:10 company 7:6,12,14 7:15,23,25 8:2,6

8:8 104:3 **complete** 103:21 completed 4:15 completely 39:7 concern 87:12,21 88:8,19 99:24 concerning 35:24 concluded 25:22 conclusion 16:3 condition 89:11 confer 36:22 conference 50:10 conferred 94:25 95:6 confuse 20:18 connected 111:12 connection 9:6 95:15 107:15 consider 57:25 consideration 72:9 72:21 73:4 considered 20:8 72:23 considering 24:25 25:17 consistency 72:21 consistent 72:11 Consolidated 3:12 constant 99:24 constantly 35:7 36:15 constraint 73:15 73:25 consultation 107:8 consultations 107:11 consulted 37:18 103:12,25 consulting 9:11 15:6,17 16:3 25:22 26:7 94:10 94:13,23 105:21 contact 40:13 42:4 continue 10:11 20:13 59:18 67:3 91:19 continued 7:20 32:2 88:12 101:12 continuing 26:16 contract 13:5 14:12 22:15 24:16 29:7 34:24 43:7,11 45:13,13 47:11 48:6,15 49:3 54:5 59:21 59:22 65:20,21 77:21 78:6 81:23 103:23 104:17 contractor 66:17 94:14

contracts 19:19 28:16 34:14,17 34:20 35:2 36:19 40:4,10 41:25 42:10 47:8 50:16 50:25 54:25 58:25 72:12 73:17,25 74:25 75:1 76:1,5,20 77:2,12 80:11 82:13,20 104:7 104:20,22,24 105:14,23 107:18 contrary 35:20 37:23 contribution 57:21 57:25 58:4 contributions 58:4 controversy 101:10 101:12,14 conversation 102:7 conversations 35:24 82:23 106:5 cooperations 61:20 coordinate 99:1 coordinator 41:8 copy 103:23 108:25 109:5,7 corporate 10:1 corporation 7:10 23:23 27:16 61:12 93:9 corporations 29:17 61:10,15 99:2 correct 20:7 21:2 24:4 27:1 39:24 40:2 41:16 42:9 43:17 44:11 45:23 65:3 70:3 104:12 111:8 correctly 9:22 26:25 cost 26:3 45:12 counsel 2:17 31:5 35:14,17,21,22 35:23 36:3 37:6 37:19 38:2,22 39:9 48:14 50:24 51:5 59:1,9,19 60:3,9 72:24 74:21 78:7,17,17 78:18 79:13,14 79:16 81:24 82:2 82:15 91:6,11 92:8 95:15,21 96:5 103:12 105:10 106:6,10 106:24 107:9,21 107:22,24 108:2

111:10,12

counseled 58:11 **counter** 42:19 County 4:4 couple 7:12 12:2 course 18:23 31:25 48:23 51:11 52:14 95:20 court 1:1 4:12 5:15 11:11 16:11 18:3 28:15 40:23 52:1 60:17 106:13 111:3 Courthouse 4:4 critical 38:15 CRO 71:9 80:8 81:11 current 25:13 84:18 currently 94:9,12 **CV**1:7 7:3

D **D**3:1 72:2 76:10 David 2:17 41:6 56:6,11 58:11 59:2,7,14,19,20 76:25 103:22 Davidson 4:3 David's 60:13 day 2:13 4:3 111:14 day-to-day 27:20 41:12 deal 47:11 dealing 66:17 deals 53:19 **debt** 8:23 9:2 **debts** 63:15 December 3:16 18:11 19:6,7,8 49:23 52:3 84:9 85:23,25 decided 8:4 10:8 20:9 87:23 **decision** 26:10,19 39:25 108:13 decisions 31:20 deep 9:14 defendants 1:10 2:7 37:8 64:21 deferred 19:2 21:21 92:17 define 99:8 degree 6:22 department 17:25 67:6 83:15 departure 60:1 depended 32:3 58:25 82:9 dependent 59:9

d
depending 26:6
DEPONENT 109:12
deposition 1:14
4:1,15 5:8 6:2
•
11:12,13 16:12
56:17 106:20
110:3
describe 63:6 70:2
77:9
described 30:17
85:12,16 100:3
100:12
desperate 54:10
detail 57:15
detailed 89:20
determine 9:13,19
15:11
devoted 87:14
died 14:16
difference 44:23
45:3,11
•
differences 69:11
different 25:20
35:25 80:1 90:4
104:7
differently 22:5
direct12:19 13:4
18:14,25 20:3,23
21:19 28:17
29:23 39:4,14
42:17 47:24
48:24 52:7,18
68:25 69:16,18
71:25 75:9 84:7
85:8,20 90:20
92:14 96:8
101:22 103:3,14
105:11 108:3
directing 14:11
80:7 81:6,10
83:5 86:12 90:9
95:8 100:2
direction 14:10
35:17,19 105:19
106:5
directly 34:11,12
disagree 38:5
56:14 107:13
disagreed 39:24
disagreement 108:1
_
disagreements
56:10 57:16
disclose 35:23
91:10
discuss 42:14 45:2
discussed 43:15,19
44:8,23 45:22
72:24 75:14 76:3
76:9,15,24 77:5
discussing 35:13
discussion 11:8
GTSCGSSTOH 11:0

IX-XIVI IXLIVI	Documen
45:15 6 103:7,1 discussio 76:8 82 distinct	7:4 40:20 0:25 80:5 0 ons 49:9 :20
18:2 27 40:15,2 52:2 60 70:12 7 documents	1:1,1 7:18 11:1,3,5 ,19 17:1 :24 28:12 4 51:12 :14,18 1:7 96:16
71:5 document doing 24: 39:10,2 42:9 48 51:8,10 94:10,1: dollars 2: Dominick: door 54:9 doors 100 downside draft 79:: drafted 7: drafts 81 drawing 6: Drive 2:4 due 85:22 duly 4:24	12 32:6 0 40:7 :11,21 58:25 2 95:19 3:10,15 28:22 105:4,18 :20,20 46:10 12 9:13,15 :12
	E
E 2:14 3:164:18 10	1 5:1 03:1

110:1 **earlier** 14:9 15:5 66:7 68:24 85:12 86:13 100:3 early 6:13 99:23 earnings 8:19 EASTERN 1:1economics 6:23 education 6:14 21:22 22:7,11 92:18 effect 33:20 eight 23:9, 11, 14 23:17 either 8:21 30:15 70:12 79:16 95:14 96:5 **elicit** 107:9 eliminated 45:22

Emil 29:12,14 **employee** 8:6 37:9 104:2 111:11 employees 8:5 39:14,18 61:1,15 61:16,18 62:6,10 62:17 69:22 86:14 89:13 108:12 employment 55:15 56:6 94:8 ended 18:11 **ends** 96:25 **engaged** 27:3,8 engagement 81:11 88:23 89:14,23 92:23 97:11 enter 76:1,4 77:10 entered 7:7 10:21 47:10 53:18 64:25 80:11 95:11,23 entering 48:15 54:1,4 73:17,25 entire 71:7 **entities** 10:1 15:7 28:7 29:13,19 31:3 32:9 35:3 37:20 40:5 41:17 52:12 104:8 105:23 **entitled** 11:13,18 **entity** 20:14 26:18 99:5,9 **equal** 62:11 equity 9:2 94:15 94:18 equivalent 13:12 98:1 **ESQ**2:3,8,13 essentially 105:25 established 77:11 77:18 80:10 81:12 estimate 89:15 et 4:8 event13:9 96:25 97:23 eventually 56:2 59:17 everybody 32:25 54:11 evidence 77:16 99:20 **exact** 44:7 88:18 **exactly** 17:9 23:14 33:15 89:21 101:3,18 102:14 106:4

Examination 3:4,4

3:5

EXAMINATIONS 3:2 exclusivity 46:24 **excuse** 26:20 77:10 **executed** 78:25 execution 81:14 executive 65:2 66:1,2 70:7 **exhibit** 11:2,4,6 11:13,18,22 12:20,25 13:5,7 14:8 16:8,12,20 16:22 18:3,9 20:4 22:11,15 27:25 28:13,18 29:6,24 40:16,25 46:5 47:25 48:15 48:25 49:4 50:22 50:25 51:13 52:2 60:15,19 68:8 69:9,17 70:22 78:4 83:1 84:6,8 84:11 85:9,10 92:9 95:10 96:9 96:12 103:4,15 **exhibits** 3:7 11:12 12:17 28:16 existing 10:2 95:21 exit 103:21 exiting 45:12 expanding 53:16 expected 31:18 71:16 experience 71:9,10 71:13 expires 1:24 110:25 111:24,25 explicit 32:5 **express** 54:8 87:12 expressed 87:20 88:7 **extent** 8:20 81:18 **external** 66:11,19 **e-mail** 3:14 40:25 42:8 46:6,15,17 47:21,21 57:23 103:4 e-mailing 42:15 E-tran 109:11 E-transcript 109:9 F

F facilities 13:14 13:24 14:5 22:17 93:21 97:1 98:3 facility 25:9 fact 23:1 26:16 35:23 59:3 77:16 79:2 83:18 85:18 99:20 106:21

Goldberg 14:9,11 following 33:5 67:17 **facts** 81:18 35:17 69:18 70:1 20:24 21:8 31:10 factual 107:13,25 functioning 82:1 **fair** 63:5 104:5 76:2 110:5 functions 27:13, 13 fairly 37:13 57:21 follows 4:24 20:8 37:15 61:8,9,14 **fund** 10:7 62:5 78:11,18 93:7 foregoing 110:3 fall 89:2 111:6 67:4 **form** 4:9 21:6 funding 9:25 10:6 fallout 47:2 **false** 75:12 22:18 25:2 27:9 10:11 15:12 26:5 30:20 31:15,17 56:2 61:25 62:2 familiar 42:5 96:17 98:13 32:11,13,20 62:3 83:14 84:3 33:11 34:1 48:17 fundraiser 57:19 fashion 24:13 **fault** 106:22 49:12 51:2 55:17 57:22 58:1,5 62:22,23 65:4,15 100:5,12 101:6,9 feedback 43:20 fees 30:1 66:4,12,15 67:22 101:15,23 102:3 68:19 70:10 71:3 102:7,8 fellow 41:5 felt 57:22 58:2 73:8,11,19 74:4 further 43:18 59:5 74:9,12 75:13 109:12 111:9 77:14,15 79:5 Fifty 84:4 G 80:13,15 81:16 figure 19:9 82:7,8 83:20 Gates 2:8 **fill** 31:11 60:13 84:25 85:17 final 68:25 **general** 21:4 59:1 87:17 88:16,25 finally 26:11 59:9,19 60:9 59:20 89:4,25 90:1,16 82:2,15 finance 6:16 91:4 93:3,4,23 generate 53:4 95:3 96:20 97:13 54:12,14 financial 3:12 9:14,18 10:5 97:15 98:5,6,8 Genesco 7:6 18:5,9,10,18,21 98:19,22 99:7,18 **GEORGE** 2:3 getting 63:7 19:21 32:2 63:3 99:19 89:10 formal 52:11 68:14 **Gio** 28:22 29:2 financially 8:18 formalities 4:7 33:19 53:2 55:23 111:13 format 17:11 **Gio's** 55:15 financials 18:12 formed 87:24 give 5:13 12:1 **forth** 96:18 32:9 72:8 19:1 find 92:10 forward 26:10 given 5:8 32:3 fine 63:19 73:12 46:15 52:12 54:13 foundation 23:1 76:18 106:24 90:22 fire 39:14,25 64:10 giving 5:15 **firing** 39:18 four 19:6,14 20:5 go 8:16 12:6 16:14 23:15 46:25 25:12 31:21 firm 10:13,15 38:8 35:20 36:2 37:3 firms 10:15 Franklin 5:7 41:3 43:25 44:9 first 4:24 9:21 freely 93:8 11:12 12:7 14:8 front 42:4 46:4 46:4,6 49:1 18:15 19:15 41:4 68:8 83:2 84:7 50:21 52:23

FTI 8:9,9 9:6 15:6

30:2,5,12 31:10

31:11 32:9 64:25

70:23 77:6 88:22

16:2 29:10,19

68:15 69:4,24

89:13,20 94:12

94:16,18,20,21

60:12 65:22,23

94:21,22,23

full 5:5 10:22

83:8 96:22

full-time 89:13

functioned 67:16

fully 107:20

function 66:1

97:10 104:16 FTI's 27:7 31:14

49:22 50:4 76:23

83:8 90:10 95:8

95:16,23 96:22

99:14 101:9,13

five 8:20 18:5,6

47:1,6 89:18

25:15 53:11

flow 9:18,20 10:5

10:7 21:24 24:10

24:13,17,21 25:9

88:12 89:7 92:21

106:20

99:14

flows 15:11

focused 76:12

follow 63:23 106:23 108:2

78:3,24 79:11

52:5 65:23 107:19 Goldberg's 14:23 graduate 7:4 ground 5:12 grounds 35:12 group 17:24 growing 63:9 growth 86:19 gtzanetopoulos... quaranteed 47:8,12 guess 14:18 44:10 52:10 56:9 62:20 63:5 65:16 92:8 **guy** 36:18 **guys** 7:13 H **H**2:13 half 23:9,11,14,17 handed 40:24 52:1 60:18 **hands** 50:9 happen 20:9 **happy** 5:25 6:13 54:12 81:3 **HCA** 7:10 heading 84:15 health 1:8 7:7,24 9:8,15 11:15,20 11:24 17:25 51:23 67:6 83:15 hear 5:17 63:1 hearing 4:10 heavily 59:1 **Heights** 1:8 3:15 3:16 51:17 60:20 61:2 hello 50:9 help 25:12 53:10 55:10,12 86:8 helpful 36:9 helping 10:7 60:13 high 89:18 highest 6:14 highly 8:24 hire 39:14 55:9 hired 10:17 55:12 hiring 39:18 **Hoffman** 2:17 31:6 41:6 55:9 56:10 57:17 58:2 59:2 72:17 76:25 79:17 81:13 82:1 95:24 96:1,4 100:6 102:1 103:22,25 104:11 104:25 105:13,22

56:13 57:6 58:17

59:12 70:17

72:16 77:24

goals 88:22

79:22,23 80:18

103:20 106:13

goes 57:14 70:1

72:22 103:23

26:2 35:8,12

going 20:17 22:25

56:19,25 63:17

67:3 73:3 75:8

102:15 105:7,10

106:23 108:2,3

78:19 100:11

37:24 39:4 49:25

81:3 92:9 99:21

		2303	
Hoffman's 56:6	importance 106:25	58:14 62:8 76:4	lady 60:5
60:1 100:4	improve 8:19	86:25 96:17	language 69:19
hole 9:14,14	inaccurate 86:6	101:23 102:10	72:1 96:9,18
home 5:5	87:6	106:17	97:21
honest 101:17	include 45:6	issues 55:11,13	large 38:8
hospital 7:8,9,10	included 23:11	56:11,14 101:7	larger 25:9
7:13,18 8:13,18	78:16	107:8	law 38:8
8:20,22 10:2	includes 74:6,17	107:0	lawsuit 91:25 92:5
13:22 14:1 15:16	incomprehensive	J	lawsuits 107:15
20:10 22:2,3,17	23:2	January 3:17 7:7	lawyer 72:18
30:15,21 31:3,6	incorrect 86:11	60:19 83:2	lawyers 74:18
31:8,12 32:9	incur 72:3,10	job 15:9 28:7 41:7	lay 106:22
38:2 41:17 44:4	incurring 74:1	71:22	layman's 8:14
56:1 57:20,22	75:6	jobs 28:2	23:20
59:1 60:2 72:4	independent 87:22	John's 9:17 10:3,3	lays 43:25
77:1 81:24 87:23	94:13 96:16 97:9	14:1 20:11 22:2	LCR 1:24 111:24
92:20,25 94:11	98:9,12	22:3,7,12 24:3	learned 107:6
94:11 96:25	INDEX 3:2,7	25:1,12,12 27:16	left 7:22 33:19
105:10	Indicating 5:19	43:13 59:7 61:11	96:1
hospitals 9:1 13:9	individuals 69:25	92:19 93:8	legal 38:13,21
24:19 25:23	104:8	Jones 2:13	48:14 50:24 51:5
26:13 27:3,14,21	industry 7:8	judge 81:4 106:14	55:10,13 75:24
28:3,9 30:10	information 18:17	judgment 92:6	79:13,14,15
31:2,19,20 35:18	20:8	Julius 15:2 41:5	81:23 91:6 95:21
35:22 38:16 39:6	initial 47:6,11	53:2	103:12
39:13 41:20,24	100:11	July 1:17 4:3 27:4	legitimate 47:21
51:16 53:25 54:3	initially 9:11	32:17 33:5,17	88:8
54:14,21,22	55:24 57:18	64:25 110:4	letter 12:21 13:1
55:13 59:4 61:9	102:12	111:14	49:1,4
61:14 66:18 75:7	inquiry 56:18	jump 37:1	letting 8:6
85:15 88:13 89:6	inside 35:22	June 9:13 27:2	let's 42:14 46:6
89:7 90:5 97:23	instance 42:14	junior 60:10	50:21 101:7
107:24	48:19 78:2 88:10		104:18
hospital's 38:21	100:11,22	К К	level 6:14 84:23
48:14 50:24	institutions 8:25	keep 61:20	86:2,23 87:3,13
Hostetler 2:3	47:3 91:18	kept 56:1	levels 36:17 82:13
hour 6:3	instructed 10:10	kind 41:8 42:4	leveraged 8:24
huh 56:9	74:25 106:11	70:14	Lexington 2:9
11d11 30. 3	intend 101:11	kindly 28:17	liabilities 15:10
	102:9	kinds 82:20	74:2 75:6
idea 108:17	intended 83:13	knew 67:17	liability 72:4,11
identification	91:22	know 5:12,18,24	light 39:8 69:10
11:16 16:13	interest 42:19	14:14 17:24	105:9
identified 104:8	43:2,5,8 45:7	19:13 20:1 23:15	limit 43:12
identify 76:23	47:5 88:5 94:15	26:2,17 33:22	line 42:4 70:12
ignore 25:6	interested 15:23	39:17 40:3,9	78:14 106:12
II 69:17	111:13	43:9 45:8 49:7	110:7
Illinois 2:5	interim 60:9	56:17,21 59:11	liquidated 7:24
Immaculate 9:17	internal 66:9	59:24 71:7 72:24	listed 52:22
10:3,4 14:3	107:22	74:5,13,15 77:17	little 43:18
20:10 22:13 25:1	Internally 52:13	78:10 88:18	lived 55:7
25:8,11 27:17	investment 84:23	89:16 94:25	loan 85:5,22
43:14 59:4 61:11	85:4 86:2	95:10,22 107:7	loans 64:1 86:2
93:8	invoke 106:2	knowledge 98:10	long 33:21 50:12
impact 21:24 24:10	involved 38:12	107:3	64:17 82:1
24:13,17,21	90:12 92:23 93:1	K&L 2:8	longer 59:10
92:20	93:19	Kan 2.0	look 12:2, 4, 11, 17
	involving 38:14		46·20 52·6 59·18

involving 38:14

78:17

in-house 2:17 60:2

issue 40:2 58:7,13

implication 78:13

108:6 implicit 32:5

L

labeled 41:2

lack 26:17 64:9

46:20 52:6 59:18

45:10 46:17 86:8

looked10:14 15:10

looking 25:14

_	_	_	-
-	9	О	-и
_	~		171

looks 28:24 41:4 42:8 loss 25:10 lost 47:7 lot 88:7 lots 5:11 82:23 **loud** 5:20 Loughlin 2:8 3:4 11:7 15:15,20,23 18:4 20:12,17 22:18,25 23:5 25:2 26:15,22 30:20 31:17 32:13,20 33:11 34:1 35:8,11 37:1,7,12 38:3 39:2 48:17 49:21 50:1 51:1 56:23 57:2 62:23 64:9 64:15,19,21 65:9 65:24 66:6,20 68:1,23 70:20 71:18 72:19 73:13,23 75:2,19 76:2,7,22 78:1 78:21 79:3 80:3 80:6,23 81:8,17 82:3,18 84:1 85:7 87:19 88:20 89:1,9 90:6,19 91:1,9,23 92:13 93:13,16,24 94:6 95:7 96:24 97:7 97:16,20 98:11 98:25 99:4,12 100:1,17,24 102:22 103:6,9 104:5 105:1,5,15 105:24 106:7 107:1 109:2,8 Loughlin's 102:25 107:16 low 89:17 lower 30:3 М

M
1:22 4:11 5:1
64:18 103:1
111:2,22
machine 111:5
main 40:13 88:5
maker 26:19
making 57:25
management 7:13,18
8:17 10:10,21
13:22 30:16
32:18 33:10
37:15 54:22 61:1
62:10 87:11
100:19 108:11

managers 57:24 58:3,7,10 100:22 102:11 mark 10:25 16:5 27:22 28:10 marked 11:2,4,6,11 11:12 16:8,11,20 18:3 22:15 27:25 28:13,16 40:15 40:24 41:3 51:12 52:2 60:15,18 78:4 Mary 9:16 10:3,4 14:3 20:10 22:13 24:25 25:8,11 27:17 43:14 59:4 61:11 93:8 master's 6:16 material 13:11 20:7,25 97:25 **math** 6:23 matter 73:5 matters 35:25 36:4 38:13 Matthew's 93:25 MBA 6:16,18 7:1 mean 10:19 17:1,7 17:11 23:21 27:11 28:4,24 31:9 34:3,4 45:7 47:18 48:20 49:22 55:3 58:24 66:16 67:5,11 68:14,20,21,22 69:23 71:4 74:22 77:17 79:23 86:7 86:9 88:17 89:10 89:19 94:21 99:10 101:1 102:21 means 74:5,15 meant 63:8 medical 1:8 13:23 14:6 19:24,25 21:22 22:6,11 23:11 24:2 41:14 41:18,19,25 43:12 53:3,9 54:5,24 60:20 61:2 92:18 Medicine 1:4 11:15 meeting 50:7,13 53:21 83:3 meetings 30:15 31:13 32:1,7 40:14 51:17,20 51:24 52:4,15,15 82:22,25 member 54:1,4

87:11

members 54:22

87:25,25 88:1,2 memory 21:7 101:20 mentioned 50:11 53:13 60:5 met 67:7 methods 58:8 middle 27:4 36:8 million 19:5,6,7 19:11,14,14 21:21 23:10,12 23:15 43:23 44:4 44:6,22,24 45:2 45:3,16 47:1,5,6 47:6,11,12 53:9 53:14 83:13 84:4 84:18,19 85:22 85:23 87:4 92:6 92:17 mind 33:23 73:14 73:24 74:6,16 mine 21:8 Mini 109:8,10 minute 46:20 92:10 minutes 3:15,16 52:3,19,25 60:21 68:5 83:3 84:10 misstates 100:9 mistaken 17:17 Mitchell 1:22 4:12 111:2,22 moment 93:15 Monday 20:24 money 24:16,20 54:23 57:23 99:15 month 103:23 monthly 62:11 months 7:22 33:8 33:16 53:4 morning 69:12 morphed 101:16 102:13 mother-in-law 14:16 move 26:10 35:12 46:15 62:6 92:25 moved 59:7 62:12 93:7 Mullally 55:5 60:7 60:8 96:6 N

N3:1 5:1,1 64:18 64:18 103:1,1 name 5:5 29:1 60:11 64:20 named 41:5 names 18:1 Nashville 4:4 7:5 55:8

need 5:24 36:11 54:10 58:18 108:5 needed 10:9,9 57:23 58:22 79:22 needs 59:12 negative 24:17,21 25:14 negotiated 50:19 negotiating 41:25 53:3 65:20 negotiations 48:5 49:3 50:15,19 **never** 51:4 67:18 71:17 77:21 81:22 new1:1 2:9,9,14 2:14 4:5 7:24 9:25 10:6,8,9,12 21:14,14 38:9 nonunion 86:14 normal 18:23 35:4 48:22 50:23 51:10 81:22 95:19 normally 10:18,19 48:11 50:16,17 North 2:4 Notary 4:12 110:24 111:2 **note** 16:25 19:1,2 19:21 70:11 80:14 90:13 106:16 **notes** 58:8 100:19 notice 4:7 not-for-profit 8:25 number 10:14,19 13:13 16:12 43:12 56:11 60:19 64:1 74:23 83:21 88:3 90:3 98:2 numbers 11:16 44:7 45:9 86:6 89:17

0

O 5:1 64:18 103:1 object 21:6 23:1 25:2 27:9 31:15 31:17 32:11,13 32:20 33:11 34:1 35:9,11 39:20 40:7 48:17 49:12 49:17,21 51:1 53:18 54:1,4 55:16 62:22,23 65:4,14 66:3,12

rage	
66:14 67:21 68:18 70:9 71:2 73:7,18 74:3,8 74:12 75:8,12 77:13,15 79:4 80:12 81:15 82:6 82:8 83:19 84:25 87:16 88:15,24 89:3,24 90:1,15 91:3 93:2,4,22 95:2 96:19 97:12 97:14 98:4,6,19 98:21 99:6,17,15 objected 53:23 58:2 73:10 objection 16:25 20:13 22:18 26:16 30:20 39:2 51:1 64:9 65:8 70:11 80:14 81:17 104:25 105:1,15,24 106:16 objections 4:9	5 2 9
39:22	
objective 62:19 observant 78:13 obtained 78:23 obtaining 78:5 obvious 37:20 obviously 5:14 33:22 38:15 59:15 61:19 88:19	
occasions 74:23	
occupation 6:9 occur 82:21 October 46:18 offer 42:19 offering 43:22 44:24 45:4 office 59:4 61:6 61:13 62:7	
100:20 officer 52:9,11 65:2 66:1,2,8 70:3,7,25 84:16 official 32:18,19 33:10	
officials 30:17 32:1 50:7 Oh 17:24 41:8 42:25 95:13 97:5 okay 14:20,22 17:21 19:3 20:5 23:7 28:19 29:8 35:16 36:6,17 42:24 46:14,19 46:21 57:3,18 60:24 63:25 64:15 69:20	5

-KAIVI-KLIVI	Documen
71:21,22, 73:12 74 83:4,7 85 91:7 95:1 98:8 101 102:9 100 old 6:11 older 25:9	:3 76:11 5:11 13 97:6 :24
once 10:21	27.7
32:17 81	
on-site 69	
opened 105	:3,17
operate 20	:10
26:13 31	:19
38:16 71	
operated 7	
<pre>operating 3 8:23 35:4</pre>	3:11 4 27-14
8:23 35:4 99:5,9,9	4 37:14
operationa	1 25.5
operations	
operative:	
97:24	
opinion 58	
opposing 3	
opposite 10	
options 43	:20
46:25 order 15:13	2 20.10
108:23	3 29.19
organizati	on 15:13
24:11 71	:10
original 13	3 : 5
19:11 49	:15
ought 36.9	
outside 31	:5 35:14
35.23 411	• 4
78:17 82:	: ∠⊥, ∠4 • 15
owed 63:15	. 13
owner 94:18	3
	-
P	
P 2:8	
2 2 0	10 00

page 3:3,8 12:22 12:25 13:8 18:25 20:6 21:19,19,20 22:10 28:18 29:24 41:3 42:18 43:25 44:9,10,12 45:19 46:4 52:14 52:19,20,21 60:23 68:11 69:1 69:17 71:24 83:5 83:9 84:8,11,14 85:9,21 92:15 96:12 97:4 103:16 110:7 Pages 20:23 52:8 110:3

```
paid 19:11, 15, 19
 23:16 30:12
 41:19 54:24
 59:21 61:7,24
 63:7 85:22,25
paper 33:7 34:2
paragraph 76:10
 83:8
Pardon 15:20
paren 47:5,7 72:2
 72:2
parent 27:18
part 18:20 22:14
 25:24 52:15
 55:10,12 64:5
participate 48:4
 49:2 102:2,6
participation
 102:8
particular 13:7
 19:1 20:6 21:5
 26:18 28:2 39:23
 46:17 75:15,25
 76:13,15 77:6
 83:8 96:17 106:8
particularly 18:1
 38:13
parties 63:11,13
 111:10
partner 38:8
party 39:7
Pat 15:19
patients 25:11
Paul 14:9 31:10
pay 8:23 30:2 43:8
 44:25 45:4 61:21
payable 61:25 62:4
 63:6,8 86:19,23
 87:1
payables 61:8
 85:24
paying 30:7 90:12
payments 47:4 62:1
payroll 61:1,2,16
 61:19 62:7,13
 86:15,15
payrolls 108:12
pending 72:19
 75:20 78:23
 97:17
people 17:25 18:16
 31:9,10,11 40:1
 41:10 67:7 74:24
 76:5,8,17,24
 77:1 104:16
people's 33:23
perfectly 107:17
perform 15:8 47:13
period 18:13, 18
 19:25 23:24
 26:12 33:1,4,14
```

35:15 47:1,1,7 87:7 95:22,24 permitted 72:15 person 42:5 60:11 personal 58:4 personally 28:5 48:4 49:2 57:20 Peytonsville 5:7 **phase** 15:6,9,17 **piece** 25:4,5 **place** 33:8 59:6 83:1 84:6 Plaintiff 1:5,16 2:2 4:2 plan 24:1 43:20,24 44:1,2 46:10,22 103:20 planning 24:7 **play** 41:24 **please** 5:5 16:5,18 27:23 29:23 81:20 108:24 **plus** 45:18 46:25 47:5,6 point 5:17 22:6,10 33:9 42:21 43:4 45:15 56:12 58:12,21 73:2 74:19 91:8 100:18 102:15 106:21 portion 96:9 position 17:3 29:3 33:24 37:7,23 39:6,9 59:18 105:7,9 106:24 107:23 108:2 positions 70:1 positive 25:13 possibility 44:3 **possible** 42:7,10 46:3 potentially 43:8 PowerPoint 92:11 practice 48:13 50:23 51:16,19 51:22 81:22 preceded 69:6 preceding 44:10 preliminary 106:20 prepaid 23:22 53:9 54:2,5,24 prepare 6:1 17:10 prepared 16:22 17:6 85:13 prepayment 23:10 23:23,25 43:5,9 44:4 45:5 present 2:17 6:9 26:4 30:14 32:8 presentation 16:22

43:13

17:7,8,9,23 22:4 23:19
presentations 83:22
Presentation-C
3:11 presented 17:14,16
17:18 21:14 85:19
pressure 56:3 58:3
<pre>pretty 29:18 33:19 55:1</pre>
primarily 61:6 89:6 96:7
primary 9:5 principle 39:21
prior 76:3 78:5,24
81:13 privilege 35:12
37:11 38:1 41:20 73:1 78:10,14
105:8 106:2,10 106:17,25 108:7
privileged 39:3
78:20 105:2,16 probably 14:21
33:7,18 44:19 83:23
problems 9:18,20 10:5,6,7 53:11
procedure 4:6 77:11,17 80:9,21
81:12
procedures 35:5 proceedings 111:6
process 77:9 78:16 79:24 80:2
produce 16:2 produced 21:13
Professionals 7:14
program 3:15 41:11
programs 47:3 Progressed 7:8
prohibition 74:1 prohibits 75:5
<pre>project 9:12 16:3 25:22 94:12,13</pre>
projects 10:20
promised 64:6 proposal 47:12
proposed 24:1 Proskauer 38:10,11
60:10 72:17 96:5 96:7 107:22
protect 62:20 provide 13:12,22
18:17 19:24
24:14,18 69:24 98:1
provided 14:6 22:8

```
provides 22:15
provision 13:7
  22:16 70:5 72:9
  73:16 75:5,15
  76:9,13
provisions 30:3
Public 4:4,12
  110:24 111:3
publicly 7:23
pulled 46:23
purchased 7:10
  9:16
purpose 61:4 62:16
  86:18 98:18,23
purposes 4:5 66:9
  66:11
pursuant 39:5
pursue 107:9
put 31:23 37:25
 43:12 45:14
 56:19,23 59:4,6
 101:18
putting 21:1
p.m46:18
         Q
Queens 22:3 92:19
question 17:4
 18:15 22:20,21
 23:2 25:7 33:22
 36:8,10,12 39:11
 43:1 47:15 49:18
 49:19 54:16
 56:22 57:7,9,10
 57:13,14 62:25
 63:20,23 67:18
 68:13 70:14,19
 70:21 71:6 72:18
 72:20,22 74:11
 74:12 75:9,13,18
 75:20,21 76:12
 78:8,19,19,23
 91:13,13 94:1,2
 97:6,8,17,18
 98:9 100:14
questions 4:10
 5:14 12:3 15:19
 37:18 52:8 60:22
 64:14,16,24
 71:22 78:15
 102:25
quibble 71:12,19
quick 12:11
quietly 43:19
Quorum 7:15, 16, 20
 7:22 8:1,3
        R
R110:1,1
```

```
raise 9:2
raised 102:25
  106:17
raising 9:1
ran 41:11
reach 72:24,25
reached 58:21
  63:15
reaction 43:19
read 12:9 22:19,21
  36:9,12 47:15,18
 54:16 57:10
 60:21 63:20 71:5
 75:20,21 83:10
 94:2 97:16,18
 110:3
reading 4:14 71:7
reads 21:20 46:23
really 56:11,18
 101:1
reason 17:13 25:16
 86:5,10 87:5
 106:8
recall 14:11 26:25
 49:7,9 57:6
 65:10 90:23
receivable 61:7
receive 6:17
received 19:5,7,10
 43:20 53:7,13
 84:3,4
recite 52:25
recollect 9:24
 25:24 26:1 33:15
 34:23 45:24,24
 46:2 47:17,19,20
 48:9,11,20 53:20
 57:18 58:20
 82:11,12 84:5
 91:5 95:18 102:4
recollection 17:19
 19:16 23:13
 29:21 30:8,11,13
 32:14 34:22
 43:10,10,16 45:9
 48:21 49:5 50:14
 50:18 51:9 53:23
 54:7,11 55:2,6
 59:24,25 60:5
 61:5 62:18 64:12
 67:1,15,24 68:2
 76:15 77:20 78:5
 79:10 80:1,20
 81:19,21,25
 82:17,19 83:24
 84:2,22 85:3,4,6
 86:1,5,10,22
 87:4 88:4,9
 91:20 92:22 93:7
 93:10,12,14 94:5
 95:5 96:15 97:9
```

98:13 99:11,13 99:22 100:6 101:4,25 104:4 104:13 108:15 recollections 50:7 recommend 82:16,16 103:20 recommendation 25:21 108:16 recommended 55:9 record 11:7,8 12:13,14 15:24 16:15,16,24 20:18 21:9 26:22 26:23 37:4,6,25 40:20,22 56:24 80:5 103:6,7 106:9 107:2 108:4,6 recorded 111:4 records 89:20 **reduce** 84:18 86:19 reference 8:13 83:9 85:21 referred 19:10 23:12 96:10 referring 46:3 87:2 108:10 refers 84:20 86:24 reflect 21:9 reflected 22:10 reflects 19:21 52:4 66:25 67:14 refresh 86:4 101:20 refreshes 86:1 refund 24:16,20 refused 58:16 regarded 100:7 regular 48:13 52:15 reimburse 30:5 reimbursement 30:4 related 9:4 15:17 related-party 85:24 relating 36:4 relation 100:4 relationship 38:14 50:12 59:15 relative 111:11 relaxed 42:20 relevant 56:18 relied 60:3 relieve 53:11 reluctant 59:13 remaining 24:19 remember 9:21 18:1 20:1 31:7 44:7 44:19,20 50:13

51:8 58:13 60:11 62:2 79:15 86:8	1
89:21 96:1 101:1 101:2,2,8,9,13]
101:17 102:13,19 102:19]
remembering 104:10 repaid 63:15	١,
repayment 64:7 repeat 26:16 47:14	
54:15 57:8 80:21	,
81:1,5 94:1 97:21	1
repeated 63:18 rephrase 75:17	נ
replaced 45:17,21 replacing 91:14	1
report 16:2 21:13	,
21:16 31:22 33:1 34:6,11 52:9,16	
53:17,21,22,24 60:22 84:15	1
85:13,19 reported 27:19	F
34:12 53:1 84:17	•
reporter 4:13 5:15 11:11 16:11 18:3	١,
22:22 28:15 36:13 40:23	
47:16 52:1 54:17 57:11 60:17	
63:21 75:22 94:3	
97:19 108:22,25 109:5 111:1,3	l
reporting 27:13 34:10 83:12	
represent 64:21	
representatives 32:8	
representing 37:19 request 39:8 84:17	
102:2	
requesting 57:24 required 15:12	E
26:5 requirement 43:8	1
67:3 reserved 4:10	
residency 47:3	1
resolve 73:14,24 74:6,16	F
resolved 58:19 resources 87:13	
88:11	F
respect 42:21 68:16 70:22 73:1	3
75:4,24 78:2 85:14 106:11	F
107:10 responded 94:8	
responded 34.6	
İ _	-

```
response 37:18
 44:10,14,21
 64:23
responsibility
 21:16
responsible 21:1
 27:20 47:4
restructuring 7:17
 52:9,11 66:8
 70:2,24 84:16
resulted 92:5
retired 6:10 7:2
 8:10 94:9
returning 44:3
 54:23 55:8
revenue 19:2 21:21
 92:17
review 18:21 79:13
 80:10 95:14,20
 103:21
reviewed79:16
 81:13,23 82:2
Richard 41:5
Rick 31:4 35:6
 65:19,19 76:25
77:22 82:16
right 5:18,22 7:4
 8:12 12:16 15:5
 15:14 17:20
19:18 21:18 23:9
 23:18 26:25 27:5
 31:2 37:21 40:2
 40:22 42:24
 43:11 44:22
 45:20 46:1,5,13
 46:19,22 48:24
 57:6 61:17 62:25
 64:14 71:23 76:6
 80:18 84:13
 86:20 89:12
 90:14 92:1 95:25
 96:6 97:3 104:10
rise 91:25
Road 5:7
role 27:7 29:15
 41:24 42:3 60:13
 65:2 70:24 80:8
roles 31:11
rolled 42:20 43:2
Romero 15:2 41:5
 41:24 42:15
 43:18 46:7 47:9
 53:2 95:1 103:5
103:15
Romero's 15:2 41:7
room 50:11
Rose 38:10,11
Ross1:4 11:14,16
11:19,20,24 13:6
```

13:8 19:5,8,10 19:22 23:10

```
43:21,22 44:6,24
 45:3,12,17,21
 46:24 47:11 48:2
 48:5 49:3,10
 50:8 53:8,14
 54:23,24 90:14
 96:11 103:11
rotations 21:23
 22:12 23:20
 24:12 53:10
 92:19 93:1,19
rough 89:19
route 106:4
RPR 1:22 111:2,22
Rucigay 29:12,14
 31:4 36:14 40:13
 59:14,15 76:25
 77:23 79:20,21
 82:10,15,21,24
 88:10 104:11,18
 104:19 107:21
rules 4:6 5:12
run 7:1,20 9:17
 27:3 31:19
running 7:19 8:1
        S
SAITH 109:12
Sarli 41:5
satisfaction 54:8
saying 44:12,20
 50:9 78:9 88:10
 101:5,11 102:1
says 13:9 19:4
 24:9,23 29:1
 30:1 47:19 70:4
 72:3,23
scenario 46:23
 47:2
scenarios 3:12
 85:14
scene 50:2 95:12
scheduled 106:20
school 1:4 6:17
 7:5 11:14 19:25
 43:12
schools 41:14,18
 41:19 42:1 53:3
scope 77:7
Sear 2:13 6:5 12:6
 13:17 16:24 18:6
 21:6 25:6 27:9
 28:4 31:15 32:11
 35:16 36:7,25
 37:3 39:4 49:12
 49:17 51:3 52:22
 55:16 56:16 57:4
 62:22 63:19 65:4
 65:18 66:12
 70:11 72:14 73:2
 73:12,20 74:3,10
```

```
75:8,23 76:6,11
 77:15 78:7 79:1
 79:6 80:14,25
 82:8 84:25 90:1
 90:17,22 91:7
 92:12 93:4 96:21
 97:3,14 98:6,19
 99:19 100:9
 103:16 105:6,19
 106:16 107:12
 109:3,7
second 3:10 11:22
 12:20,21,22
 48:25 49:15
 50:22 80:4 95:16
 95:23 96:22
 103:17
section 30:1,4
see 13:15 21:25
 42:22 46:14
 58:24 61:17 72:5
 78:18
seek 75:3 96:5
selected 10:15
self-explanatory
 36:2
sell 8:4,22,22
 45:19
selling 44:5 90:13
 103:10
sending 41:20
senior 57:24 58:3
 58:6,10 61:1
 100:19 101:5
 102:1,6,11
 108:11
sense 41:11
sent 57:23 103:22
sentence 84:15
 92:15 96:22,23
 96:24 97:4
separate 61:10,12
 61:21 101:7
 109:7
September 87:9,10
serious 9:20
serve 69:25
service 61:22
services 68:9
 69:25 73:16
 85:24 86:25
serving 13:21
 54:20
set 72:1 96:17
sets 46:22
Seventeen 21:5
shake 5:21
shaking 50:8
share 43:23
shared 85:24 86:25
shed 69:10
```

iled 01/24/12	Page 42 of 45 Pagell #age	11
---------------	---------------------------	----

succumbed 56:3

```
sheet 62:20 86:9
Sheppard 50:12
shield 106:6
short 5:13 6:25
  7:2 8:11 29:19
                     small 72:2
shorthand 111:5
show 11:10 16:10
                      94:20
  18:2 24:2
shows 29:12
side 12:21
                      55:11
sign 14:12 34:14
  34:17,20 35:2
  51:5 74:25 75:1
 76:20 77:2,3,4
 81:22 104:7,20
 104:21,24 105:14
 105:23
signature 12:23
 28:17,21,25 29:9
 29:10,11 69:2
 79:19 96:13
 109:4
signatures 13:1
signed 14:10,14,19
 15:1 34:24 40:11
 49:22 50:4 70:22
 76:19 77:21 78:6
 111:14
significant 10:5
                      101:8
 57:21 60:12
signing 4:14 40:4
 50:25 58:24
                      26:17
 72:11
signs 36:19
simple 31:23
simply 72:20 79:1
 107:20,21
                    Spent 6:3
Singleton 1:15
                    spoke 15:5
 2:12 4:2,22 5:4
                    Square 4:4
 5:6 7:3 11:2,4,6
 11:10 16:8,10,20
 27:1,25 28:13,15
 35:18 37:8 38:2
 40:16,23 50:2
 51:13,15 53:1,15
 56:21 60:15,17
 64:20 71:20
 72:20 80:7 84:16
 88:21 93:17
 102:23 107:19
                      102:6
 110:3,21
Singleton's 28:7
sir 6:11 32:22
 38:19 44:17 46:9
 48:8 108:21
situation 71:15
situations 35:5
                      104:18
SJQH 21:23 22:2
skill 111:4
slides 20:3
                    Starting 44:13
slipping 100:19
                    state 4:13 5:4
```

```
9:24 10:6,8,12
slots 41:9,13
  43:24 44:5 45:18
                      15:12 17:18,22
  47:7 53:16 90:13
                      17:25 21:13,14
  91:15 103:10
                      26:2,5,8,9 30:16
                      30:17,17 31:14
                      31:22 32:1 38:15
sold 7:15 8:5,8
                      38:17 55:22,23
solely 101:22
                      56:1 61:25 62:2
somebody 33:1
                      62:5 64:1,4 67:2
                      67:5,7 71:16
sorry 22:24 23:3
                      83:14,22 84:18
  42:13,23,25
                      85:14
  54:15 63:12,17
                     stated 53:6
  63:22 87:18 94:1
                     statement 18:5
sort 43:7,7
                      55:1 107:14
sought 75:24
                     statements 3:13
                      18:10,10,18,22
Sounds 44:18 53:21
source 65:12
                     states 1:1 72:10
speaks 23:19 42:19
                      92:16
special 69:22
                     sticks 100:23
specific 35:19
                     stipulation 65:1
  39:21 51:9 53:20
                      65:13
  53:21 57:7 71:6
                     stipulations 10:16
 79:9,25 82:13
                     stock 94:21,22
 83:24 104:4
                     stop 58:11 99:16
specifically 21:3
                     strategies 90:4
 36:5 44:20 48:12
                      91:17
 48:22 95:18
                     strategy 44:8
                      90:12 91:2,14,16
specification
                      91:25 103:10,13
 15:16 20:14
                      104:1
                     Street 2:14
specifies 70:6,23
                     strike 22:9 44:25
specify 30:21
 37:13 83:16
                     string 3:14 40:25
speculation 14:19
                      42:8,46:16 47:22
                     strong 59:14
                     stuck 18:9
                    student 3:15 21:22
St 9:17 10:3,3
                      22:6,11 24:2
 14:1 20:11 22:2
                      53:10 54:5 92:18
 22:3,7,12 24:3
                    students 13:23
 25:1,12,12 27:16
                      14:6 23:24 41:14
 43:13 59:7 61:11
                      41:18,21 92:25
 92:19 93:8,25
                      93:7
stabilize 15:13
                    subject 35:25
 89:6,10 90:4
                      36:16 73:5 86:12
stabilized 91:20
                      90:21
staff 101:5 102:1
                    submit 77:12
                    submitted 82:5,14
stamped 11:16,20
                      84:17
                    subordinate 64:6
 11:24 13:8 16:12
stand 8:21 101:19
                    subordination
standard 36:18
                      63:12,14
standpoint 89:8
                    subsequently 82:4
start 45:1 54:2
                    substance 35:20
                      36:3 74:11,20
started 7:12,17
                      75:15,25 88:11
 57:19 104:3
                      90:19 106:1
                    successful 53:6
```

91:2

suggest 54:23 Suite 2:4 **summer** 80:9 87:9 89:1 sums 24:7supervised 10:19 **sure** 12:5 20:2,16 20:19 21:8 36:25 56:17 69:13,14 96:2 surprised 92:8 **survive** 91:19 **swear** 4:13 sweeping 49:18 55:1 sword 106:6 sworn 4:24 symbolically 59:5 systems 94:11**T**5:1 64:18 103:1 110:1 take 6:25 8:17 12:1,11,11 23:24 33:8 40:17 46:20 58:12 64:16 80:3 95:20 105:7 106:14 taken 1:16 4:2 39:6,9 105:9 106:24 110:4 talk 79:20,21 talked 23:18 35:7 36:15 79:10 104:9,11,15 talking 20:15 21:3 21:4 30:22 49:13 96:21 talks 43:2 task 9:21 tasks 18:20,21 54:13 team 16:23 17:14 31:10 tell 18:8 36:5 38:25 58:16,16 58:18 76:23 77:23 79:12 83:17 84:20

88:21 102:18

telling 47:10

tended 96:4

104:19,23 105:13

Tennessee 1:24 4:5 4:13 5:7 111:24

term 20:13 97:9

terminate 55:14

56:5 57:16

```
Thursday 46:18
time 10:22 13:21
 14:16,17,24 15:3
 23:25 25:13
 26:12 28:3 29:3
 29:5,14 33:4
 38:18,22 39:13
 41:23 47:20
 50:13 51:15
 53:25 54:3,20
 55:10,12 60:12
 60:13 65:22,23
 66:17 70:16 72:7
 80:8 87:7,8
 91:12 92:22
 93:18 95:22,24
 101:9,13,21
times 5:10 89:16
 107:24
timing 27:2
title 20:20 52:11
 60:9 66:2,8,10
 95:1,5
titles 95:1
today 6:2 53:8
 68:17 100:3
 107:6
told14:9 20:24
 51:5 71:17 79:18
 80:20
Tom 6:3,5 7:2 28:6
 50:11
top 32:18,18 33:9
 44:11 52:23
total 24:10,18
 45:12 81:1
totally 39:5
Tough 44:18
traded 7:23
transcript 110:4
 111:6,7,8
transfer 53:8 61:4
 62:17 63:4 86:14
 108:11
transferring 60:25
transfers 108:14
transitions 108:17
Triad 8:3,3
tried 26:4 90:3
Trine1:22 4:11
 111:2,22
troubles 9:18
true 19:17 33:6,20
 64:12 71:12
 110:4 111:7
Trustees 51:17,20
 51:23 52:4 60:21
 84:9
try 8:19 15:11
```

81:9 89:5 90:4

101:21

```
trying 9:13,19
 71:19 90:20
turn 7:17 8:2,4,13
 8:18 9:3 10:13
 15:22 21:18
 26:21 68:11
 71:24
two 8:20 10:1,25
 18:9 24:19 28:16
 29:18,20 53:4
 61:10 62:14 69:7
 69:8,11,15 89:7
 96:12 99:2 101:7
typically 8:17
 31:4 71:9
Tzanetopoulos 2:3
 3:4,5 5:2 10:24
 11:9 12:10,15
 13:20 15:18,21
 16:1,5,9,14,17
 16:21 17:12 18:7
 20:16,19,22
 21:17 23:8 25:18
 26:24 27:22 28:1
 28:6,10,14 30:23
 31:1,24 32:16,22
 32:24 33:13 34:5
 36:20,24 37:5,21
 38:5,6 39:12
 40:18,21 47:23
 48:18 49:14
 50:20 51:7,14
 52:24 54:19
 55:20 56:24
 57:12 60:16
 62:24 63:2,24
 64:13,24 65:7,14
 66:3,14 67:21
 68:18 70:9 71:2
 73:7,10,18 74:8
 77:13 79:4 80:12
 81:15 82:6 83:19
 87:16 88:15,24
 89:3,24 90:15
 91:3 92:16 93:2
 93:22 94:7 95:2
 96:10,19 97:12
 98:4,21 99:6,17
 102:24 103:2,8
 103:17,19 105:3
 105:12,17,20
 106:3,15 108:9
 108:18,24 109:10
        U
Uh-huh 13:16 29:25
 42:11 48:1 86:16
```

96:14

25:19

ultimately 15:3,4

```
unamortized 47:4
uncertain 107:18
underneath 29:1
understand 5:17
 36:1 37:7,22
 44:2 47:9 74:10
 76:12 79:6
 100:17
understanding 17:2
 22:14 24:16
 72:15 88:22
 98:17 104:6,16
 107:7
understood 23:4
 47:18,20
unfair 58:3
union 62:8
unionized 62:8
UNITED 1:1
university 1:4
 6:19 11:14 13:10
 13:12 19:23
 43:21 53:8,14
 97:24 98:1
unusual 10:18
use 20:13 42:12
 106:5
usually 8:24 36:19
```

v

Vanderbilt 6:24 variety 37:15 various 26:3 74:23 76:5 85:14 89:16 89:16 94:25 version 5:13 6:25 7:2 virtue 74:1 vs1:6

Tal

Wacker 2:4 waded 78:14 Wait 69:20,20 **waive** 109:3 waived 4:8,16105:8 waiving 72:25 Wallace 5:6 Walter 2:8 64:20 walter.loughli... 2:10 want 12:4,8 37:2 39:6 62:5 65:21 80:21 81:3 83:11 88:6 91:9,10 106:13 108:7,22 108:25 109:5 wanted 8:4 10:11 26:2 30:17 31:14 55:23 82:10

```
wash 62:15
wasn't 56:25 63:9
  92:7
waste 70:16 81:2
way 8:16 9:1,24
  17:3 26:1 55:2
  57:18 63:5 67:1
  67:15,16,17
  71:14,15,17
  79:19 91:21 94:5
  102:4 105:25
  107:2
Wednesday 6:12
week 14:9 53:14
weeks 106:18,18,18
went 7:5, 16, 25
  21:16 58:22
  59:11 102:20
weren't 63:7,8
  69:13
we'll 5:25 6:25
 72:24 106:1,14
we're 18:4 20:15
 31:22 35:17 36:7
 39:7,9 72:25
 80:25 81:1,4
 102:22 106:21
we've 78:12 106:9
 106:18
wire 53:7
witness 4:14,15,23
 12:8 13:19 17:6
 20:20 21:12
 22:19,23 23:3,6
 23:7 25:3,8
 26:20 27:11 28:8
 30:24 31:18
 32:14,21,23
 33:12 34:2 35:10
 36:6,14,22 37:14
 39:5 40:17 47:17
 49:24 50:3 51:4
 54:18 55:19 57:1
 57:3,8 63:22
 64:11 65:16,19
 66:5,16 67:23
 68:20 70:18 71:4
 73:6,9 74:22
 76:3,14 77:20
 78:15 79:8 80:19
 81:5,21 82:9
 83:21 85:2 87:18
 88:17 89:5 90:3
 90:25 91:5,16
 93:6 94:4 95:4
 97:2,5 98:8,23
 99:1,8,22 100:12
 100:15,18 105:11
 106:10,19 107:4
wondering 29:22
words 42:12 62:14
```

```
88:18
work 7:5, 16, 25 9:6
  9:7,10 15:6,8
  55:5 56:12 58:23
  59:10 61:20
  95:16
worked 8:9 18:16
  59:8 79:19 89:14
working 72:7 97:10
worst-case 47:2
wouldn't 71:7 85:3
  102:18
write 5:16
writes 42:18 43:18
  43:22 103:15
writing 66:25
  67:13 68:6
wrong 22:8 57:5
Wyckoff1:8 2:17
  3:15,16 10:1
  13:22,23 18:12
  21:23 22:13 24:3
  24:8 27:17 28:23
  29:5 32:2 33:6,8
  33:10 34:15
  35:15 37:10,16
  38:12 47:8,13
  50:10,10 51:17
  53:5,7,12 59:6
  60:20 61:2,12,21
  62:1,3,4 63:4,7
  63:10,11,13,16
  64:6,22 67:20
  68:15 70:8,25
 72:12 77:22 83:3
 84:9,24 85:22,25
 86:3,14,20 87:12
 87:13,22 88:1,2
 88:6,7,11 92:2,6
 92:20 93:11 99:3
 99:14,15,24
 108:12
Wyckoff's 34:7
 61:16,19 62:20
        X
x3:1 5:1 64:18
 103:1
        Y
yeah 5:12 15:4
 22:3 30:19 41:15
 57:2 63:5 65:18
 69:23 91:9 94:24
year 6:20 18:11
 46:25
years 8:21 23:15
 46:25 59:25
 71:11
Yep 92:12
```

```
yesterday 6:4
York 1:1 2:9,9,14
  2:14 4:5 9:25
  10:6,8,12 21:14
  21:15 38:9 55:8
         \mathbf{z}
Zall 31:5 35:6,14
  38:7,21,25 65:19
  65:19 76:25
  77:22 79:17
  82:16,24 104:12
  104:23 105:21
         $
$1.3 45:2,16
$10 84:19
$1484:18
$385:22
$3.244:4
$3.7 53:14
$419:7,14 53:9
$4.5 44:6
$519:4,11 47:11
 92:6
$5-million 19:9
$50 83:13
$8.521:21 92:17
$9.685:23
         0
001083:6
0049071:25
00491 68:12
0064 96:11
01410 (KAM) (RLM)
 1:7
0388284:12
07 9:13,13 89:2
 92:24
0889:2 92:24
091:7
         1
1 3:9 4:4 11:2,13
 13:5 22:15 95:10
 96:9 110:3
1.344:22 45:10
 47:1
1/6/15 111:24
10 3:16,17 60:15
 60:19,19 83:1,2
10017 2:14
10022 2:9
103 3:5
109 110:3
```

11 3:9,9,10 20:6

29:24

11:30 1:18

11:37 46:18

```
12550 16:13
12608 16:13
1589:18
16 3:11,12
17 20:23 21:3
187:22 18:25
 20:24 21:4,5,19
  21:19 22:10 85:9
 85:21 92:15
19th 111:14
1912:4
1970 6:24
1974 7:6,7
1981 7:11,13
19857 41:2
19858 103:18
19859 41:4 42:18
 44:9
19861 41:2
19927:15
         2
23:9 11:4,18 14:8
 47:25 48:15
 71:24 78:4
2.169:19,22
203:16 52:3 84:9
 89:18
20-something 71:11
2001 7:21
2002 7:21 8:3,7
20048:8
200619:7 49:23
 95:11
20073:16 18:11
 19:8 27:5,6
 32:17 33:5,17
 46:18 52:3 64:25
 80:9 84:9 87:9
 97:10 98:15
20083:17 8:9
 60:19 83:2 87:9
 97:10 98:15
2011 1:17 4:3
 110:4 111:15
212) 326-3939 2:15
212) 536-4065 2:10
222 2:14
23 68:12 69:17
 71:25
25 46:18
2619:6,7
273:13
283:14
2841:24 111:24
        3
33:10 11:6,22
 12:20 48:25 49:4
```

50:22,25 52:8

68:11 69:17 84:8

		311	
84:11	93:15 51:13 52:2		
3.244:25 45:4,6	84:6		
45:11	9.547:5		
31 18:11 85:23,25	9.686:24 87:4		
3100 2 : 4 312) 416-6200 2 : 5	9:001:18 91711:25		
370645:7	91711.25		
	·	·	ŀ
<u>4</u>			
43:11 16:8,12,22			
19:1 20:4 52:8			
52:19,20,21 60:23 85:9 92:9			
4.5 43:23 44:24			
45:3 47:6,12			
40 3:14			
41st 2:14 42911 11:25	[
4564 5: 6			
5			
5 3:4,12 12:22 16:20 19:8 83:5			
51 3:15			
52 11:20			
54 11:21			
5611:17			
57-page 16:25 58 44:12,13,16			
59 44:13 45:19			
46:8			
599 2:9			
6			
6 3:13 27:25 28:16			
28:18 69:9			
6/30/2012 1:24			
111:25 60 3:16			
60606 2:5			
615 1:23			
63 6:12			
64 3:4 13:8 67 11:17			
07 11.17			
7			
73:14 18:3 28:13			
28:16 29:6,24 68:8 69:17 70:22			
72 6:21			
8			
81:17 3:14 40:16			
40:25 103:4,15 110:4			
8th 4:3			
830-5544 1:23			
89 7:19			
9			